APPENDIX D

Future Do-Nothing Scenario Signal Warrants



Based Ontario Traffic Manual Book 12 - Traffic Signals (March 2012)

Project and Scenario Summary	Project	and	Scenario	Summary
------------------------------	---------	-----	-----------------	---------

Project:	Temiskaming Shores Downtown	Project No.:	10777		
Project:	Terniskanning Shores Downtown	lilon Study	Date:	2023-08-22	
Horizon:	Future Background	Horizon Year:	2028	Analyst:	GC

Study Intersection Summary

Major Street:	Whitewood Ave	Direction:	East/West
Minor Street:	Golding St	Direction:	North/South

Intersection Details for Warrant Parameters

Flow Conditions:	Restricted Flow (Urban)	Number of Lanes:	1
Number of Legs:	Three ("T" Intersection)	Intersection Type:	Existing

Notes: "Free Flow" is used when the operating speed is greater than or equal to 70km/h, "Restricted Flow" otherwise. The Number of Lanes greater than 1 only needs to be for one direction along the major road.

An intersection is considered "New" if at least 1-leg is added to an existing intersection.

Input Volumes and Average Hourly Volume Determination

Peak Hour		Majo	r: Whi	tewood	Ave			M	inor: G	olding	St		Pedestrians
Peak Hour	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR	Crossing Major
AM	0	362	16	16	249	0	16	0	30	0	0	0	0
PM	0	360	30	16	396	0	15	0	28	0	0	0	0
AHV ¹	0	181	12	8	161	0	8	0	15	0	0	0	0

^{1.} The AHV is determined by the availability of the peak hour estimates. If both the AM and PM Peak Hour Volume estimate is available then AHV = $(AM_{PHV} + PM_{PHV}) / 4$. In the case that only one estimate is available then AHV = $AM_{PHV} / 2$ or AHV = $PM_{PHV} / 2$.

Justification 1A: All Approach Lanes	385
Justification 1B: Minor Street Both Approaches	23

Justification 2A: Major Street Both Approaches	362
Justification 2B: Traffic Crossing Major Street	8

		Total	8			
(4) Pedestrians crossing the major stree	0					
(b) The left turn volume plus the opposing volume > 720 vph	189	FALSE				
(a) The left turn volume > 120 vph	8	FALSE				
(3) 50% of the heavier left turn movement from major street when both of the following criteria are met:						
(2) The heaviest through volume from th	e minor	street:	0			
(1) Left turns from both minor street app	s:	8				
Note: The <u>crossing</u> volume is defined as the sum of:						



Based Ontario Traffic Manual Book 12 - Traffic Signals (March 2012)

Project and Scenario Summary

Project: Temiskaming Shores Downtown Cores Transportation Study					10777
Project.	Terniskanning Shores Downtown	lilon Study	Date:	2023-08-22	
Horizon:	Future Background	Horizon Year:	2028	Analyst:	GC

Study Intersection Summary

Major Street:	Whitewood Ave	Direction:	East/West
Minor Street:	Golding St	Direction:	North/South

Summary of Base Justification Thresholds

Justification	1 Appro	ach Lane	2 or More Approach Lanes		
Justilication	Free Flow	Restricted Flow	Free Flow	Restricted Flow	
1A: All Approach Lanes	480	720	600	900	
1B: Minor Street Both Approaches	120	170	120	170	
2A: Major Street Both Approaches	480	720	600	900	
2B: Traffic Crossing Major Street	50	75	50	75	

The above values are taken from Table 12 and Table 13 from OTM Book 12 (March 2012).

Adjusted Justification Thresholds for Study Intersection Conditions

Justification	Base Threshold	Existing Intersection	"T" Intersection	Final Threshold
1A: All Approach Lanes	720	120%	-	864
1B: Minor Street Both Approaches	170	120%	150%	306
2A: Major Street Both Approaches	720	120%	-	864
2B: Traffic Crossing Major Street	75	120%	-	90

The above adjustments are taken from OTM Book 12 (March 2012) the "T" Intersection adjustment only applies to Justification 1B, and is a 50% increase on the threshold when the study intersection is a "T' intersection. Otherwise a value of 100% is used.

Warrant Calculation

Justification	Study Intersection Justification Volume	Justification Threshold	Percentage Warrant	Warrant Met?
1A: All Approach Lanes	385	864	45%	No
1B: Minor Street Both Approaches	23	306	8%	NO
2A: Major Street Both Approaches	362	864	42%	No
2B: Traffic Crossing Major Street	8	90	9%	IAO

Notes: In the case of Justification 7 based on AHV both Warrant 1 and 2 must be met 100%, which requires both the A and B part of each warrant being equal to 100%.

When calculating the percentage, any value greater than 100% is expressed as 100%.

Based on OTM Book 12's Signal Warrant Justification 7 and the estimated AHV for the subject study intersection a signal is:

The grey shaded values are provided for reference only, and are not applicable to the study intersection.



Based Ontario Traffic Manual Book 12 - Traffic Signals (March 2012)

Project and Scenario Sum

Proiect:	Project No.:	10777			
Project.	Temiskaming Shores Downtown	Date:	2023-08-22		
Horizon:	Future Background	Horizon Year:	2028	Analyst:	GC

Study Intersection Summary

Major Street:	Whitewood Ave	Direction:	East/West
Minor Street:	John	Direction:	North/South

Intersection Details for Warrant Parameters

Flow Conditions:	Restricted Flow (Urban)	Number of Lanes:	1
Number of Legs:	Four	Intersection Type:	Existing

Notes: "Free Flow" is used when the operating speed is greater than or equal to 70km/h, "Restricted Flow" otherwise. The Number of Lanes greater than 1 only needs to be for one direction along the major road.

An intersection is considered "New" if at least 1-leg is added to an existing intersection.

Input Volumes and Average Hourly Volume Determination

Peak Hour		Major: Whitewood Ave Minor: John					Pedestrians						
Peak Hour	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR	Crossing Major
AM	15	288	4	4	256	8	4	0	5	11	4	15	7
PM	14	387	7	5	324	12	4	0	9	11	3	8	6
AHV ¹	7	169	3	2	145	5	2	0	4	6	2	6	3

^{1.} The AHV is determined by the availability of the peak hour estimates. If both the AM and PM Peak Hour Volume estimate is available then AHV = $(AM_{PHV} + PM_{PHV}) / 4$. In the case that only one estimate is available then AHV = $AM_{PHV} / 2$ or AHV = $PM_{PHV} / 2$.

Justification 1A: All Approach Lanes	351
Justification 1B: Minor Street Both Approaches	20

Justification 2A: Major Street Both Approaches	331
Justification 2B: Traffic Crossing Major Street	13

		Total	13		
(4) Pedestrians crossing the major stree		3			
(b) The left turn volume plus the opposing volume > 720 vph	152	FALSE			
(a) The left turn volume > 120 vph	7	FALSE			
(3) 50% of the heavier left turn movement from major street when both of the following criteria are met:					
(2) The heaviest through volume from th	e minor	street:	2		
(1) Left turns from both minor street app	roaches	s:	8		
Note: The <u>crossing</u> volume is defined as the	:				



Based Ontario Traffic Manual Book 12 - Traffic Signals (March 2012)

Project and Scenario Summary

Proiect:	Tomickaming Shares Downtown	Temiskaming Shores Downtown Cores Transportation Study				
Froject.	Termskaming Shores Downtown	s Downtown Cores Transportation Study			2023-08-22	
Horizon:	Future Background	Horizon Year:	2028	Analyst:	GC	

Study Intersection Summary

Major Street:	Whitewood Ave	Direction:	East/West
Minor Street:	John	Direction:	North/South

Summary of Base Justification Thresholds

Justification	1 Appro	ach Lane	2 or More Approach Lanes		
Justilication	Free Flow	Restricted Flow	Free Flow	Restricted Flow	
1A: All Approach Lanes	480	720	600	900	
1B: Minor Street Both Approaches	120	170	120	170	
2A: Major Street Both Approaches	480	720	600	900	
2B: Traffic Crossing Major Street	50	75	50	75	

The above values are taken from Table 12 and Table 13 from OTM Book 12 (March 2012).

Adjusted Justification Thresholds for Study Intersection Conditions

Justification	Base Threshold	Existing Intersection	"T" Intersection	Final Threshold
1A: All Approach Lanes	720	120%	-	864
1B: Minor Street Both Approaches	170	120%	100%	204
2A: Major Street Both Approaches	720	120%	-	864
2B: Traffic Crossing Major Street	75	120%	-	90

The above adjustments are taken from OTM Book 12 (March 2012) the "T" Intersection adjustment only applies to Justification 1B, and is a 50% increase on the threshold when the study intersection is a "T' intersection. Otherwise a value of 100% is used.

Warrant Calculation

Justification	Study Intersection Justification Volume	Justification Threshold	Percentage Warrant	Warrant Met?
1A: All Approach Lanes	351	864	41%	No
1B: Minor Street Both Approaches	20	204	10%	NO
2A: Major Street Both Approaches	331	864	38%	No
2B: Traffic Crossing Major Street	13	90	14%	INO

Notes: In the case of Justification 7 based on AHV both Warrant 1 and 2 must be met 100%, which requires both the A and B part of each warrant being equal to 100%.

When calculating the percentage, any value greater than 100% is expressed as 100%.

Based on OTM Book 12's Signal Warrant Justification 7 and the estimated AHV for the subject study intersection a signal is:

The grey shaded values are provided for reference only, and are not applicable to the study intersection.



Based Ontario Traffic Manual Book 12 - Traffic Signals (March 2012)

Project: Temiskaming Shores Downtown Cores Transportation Study				Project No.:	10777
Project.	Terniskanning Shores Downtown	r Cores Transporta	illon Study	Date:	2023-08-22
Horizon:	Future Background	Horizon Year:	2028	Analyst:	GC

Study Intersection Summary

Major Street:	Whitewood Ave	Direction:	East/West
Minor Street:	Mary	Direction:	North/South

Intersection Details for Warrant Parameters

Flow Conditions:	Restricted Flow (Urban)	Number of Lanes:	1
Number of Legs:	Four	Intersection Type:	Existing

Notes: "Free Flow" is used when the operating speed is greater than or equal to 70km/h, "Restricted Flow" otherwise. The Number of Lanes greater than 1 only needs to be for one direction along the major road.

An intersection is considered "New" if at least 1-leg is added to an existing intersection.

Input Volumes and Average Hourly Volume Determination

Peak Hour		Major: Whitewood Ave						Minor: Mary					Pedestrians
Peak Hour	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR	Crossing Major
AM	23	274	8	4	273	8	2	3	2	8	4	10	3
PM	22	375	9	7	333	6	1	4	5	8	2	12	5
AHV ¹	11	162	4	3	152	4	1	2	2	4	2	6	2

^{1.} The AHV is determined by the availability of the peak hour estimates. If both the AM and PM Peak Hour Volume estimate is available then AHV = $(AM_{PHV} + PM_{PHV}) / 4$. In the case that only one estimate is available then AHV = $AM_{PHV} / 2$ or AHV = $AM_{PHV} / 2$.

Justification 1A: All Approach Lanes	353
Justification 1B: Minor Street Both Approaches	17

Justification 2A: Major Street Both Approaches	336
Justification 2B: Traffic Crossing Major Street	9

Note: The <u>crossing</u> volume is defined as the sum of	f:	
(1) Left turns from both minor street approaches	3:	5
(2) The heaviest through volume from the minor	street:	2
(3) 50% of the heavier left turn movement from street when both of the following criteria are me	0	
(a) The left turn volume > 120 vph 11	FALSE	
(b) The left turn volume plus the opposing volume > 720 vph 163	FALSE	
(4) Pedestrians crossing the major street:	2	
	Total	9



Based Ontario Traffic Manual Book 12 - Traffic Signals (March 2012)

Project and Scenario Summary

Proiect:	Temiskaming Shores Downtown	Project No.:	10777		
Froject.	Termskaming Shores Downtown	Cores Transporta	dion Study	Date:	2023-08-22
Horizon:	Future Background	Horizon Year:	2028	Analyst:	GC

Study Intersection Summary

Major Street:	Whitewood Ave	Direction:	East/West
Minor Street:	Mary	Direction:	North/South

Summary of Base Justification Thresholds

Justification	1 Appro	ach Lane	2 or More Approach Lanes			
Justilication	Free Flow Restricted Flo		Free Flow	Restricted Flow		
1A: All Approach Lanes	480	720	600	900		
1B: Minor Street Both Approaches	120	170	120	170		
2A: Major Street Both Approaches	480	720	600	900		
2B: Traffic Crossing Major Street	50	75	50	75		

The above values are taken from Table 12 and Table 13 from OTM Book 12 (March 2012).

Adjusted Justification Thresholds for Study Intersection Conditions

Justification	Base Threshold	Existing Intersection	"T" Intersection	Final Threshold
1A: All Approach Lanes	720	120%	-	864
1B: Minor Street Both Approaches	170	120%	100%	204
2A: Major Street Both Approaches	720	120%	-	864
2B: Traffic Crossing Major Street	75	120%	-	90

The above adjustments are taken from OTM Book 12 (March 2012) the "T" Intersection adjustment only applies to Justification 1B, and is a 50% increase on the threshold when the study intersection is a "T' intersection. Otherwise a value of 100% is used.

Warrant Calculation

Justification	Study Intersection Justification Volume	Justification Threshold	Percentage Warrant	Warrant Met?
1A: All Approach Lanes	353	864	41%	No
1B: Minor Street Both Approaches	17	204	8%	NO
2A: Major Street Both Approaches	336	864	39%	No
2B: Traffic Crossing Major Street	9	90	10%	No

Notes: In the case of Justification 7 based on AHV both Warrant 1 and 2 must be met 100%, which requires both the A and B part of each warrant being equal to 100%.

When calculating the percentage, any value greater than 100% is expressed as 100%.

Based on OTM Book 12's Signal Warrant Justification 7 and the estimated AHV for the subject study intersection a signal is:

The grey shaded values are provided for reference only, and are not applicable to the study intersection.



Based Ontario Traffic Manual Book 12 - Traffic Signals (March 2012)

Project and Scenario Sum

Project: Tomickaming Shares Downtown Cares Transportation Study					10777
Project.	Project: Temiskaming Shores Downtown Cores Transportation Study				2023-08-22
Horizon:	Future Background	Horizon Year:	2028	Analyst:	GC

Study Intersection Summary

Major Street:	Broadwood	Direction:	East/West
Minor Street:	Golding	Direction:	North/South

Intersection Details for Warrant Parameters

Flow Conditions:	Restricted Flow (Urban)	Number of Lanes:	1
Number of Legs:	Three ("T" Intersection)	Intersection Type:	Existing

Notes: "Free Flow" is used when the operating speed is greater than or equal to 70km/h, "Restricted Flow" otherwise. The Number of Lanes greater than 1 only needs to be for one direction along the major road.

An intersection is considered "New" if at least 1-leg is added to an existing intersection.

Input Volumes and Average Hourly Volume Determination

Peak Hour		Major: Broadwood				Minor: Golding				Pedestrians			
Peak Hour	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR	Crossing Major
AM	3	1	0	0	2	16	0	0	0	33	0	0	0
PM	0	0	0	0	4	41	0	0	0	2	0	34	0
AHV ¹	1	0	0	0	2	14	0	0	0	9	0	9	0

^{1.} The AHV is determined by the availability of the peak hour estimates. If both the AM and PM Peak Hour Volume estimate is available then AHV = $(AM_{PHV} + PM_{PHV}) / 4$. In the case that only one estimate is available then AHV = $AM_{PHV} / 2$ or AHV = $AM_{PHV} / 2$.

Justification 1A: All Approach Lanes	35
Justification 1B: Minor Street Both Approaches	18

Justification 2A: Major Street Both Approaches	17
Justification 2B: Traffic Crossing Major Street	9

		Total	9		
(4) Pedestrians crossing the major street:					
(b) The left turn volume plus the opposing volume > 720 vph	3	FALSE			
(a) The left turn volume > 120 vph	1	FALSE			
(3) 50% of the heavier left turn movemen street when both of the following criteria	0				
(2) The heaviest through volume from the	e mino	r street:	0		
(1) Left turns from both minor street appr	s:	9			
Note: The <u>crossing</u> volume is defined as the sum of:					



Based Ontario Traffic Manual Book 12 - Traffic Signals (March 2012)

Project and Scenario Summary

Proiect:	Temiskaming Shores Downtown Cores Transportation Study				
Project.	roject: Temiskaming Shores Downtown Cores Transportation Study				2023-08-22
Horizon:	Future Background	Horizon Year:	2028	Analyst:	GC

Study Intersection Summary

Major Street:	Broadwood	Direction:	East/West
Minor Street:	Golding	Direction:	North/South

Summary of Base Justification Thresholds

Justification	1 Appro	ach Lane	2 or More Approach Lanes		
Justilication	Free Flow	Restricted Flow	Free Flow	Restricted Flow	
1A: All Approach Lanes	480	720	600	900	
1B: Minor Street Both Approaches	120	170	120	170	
2A: Major Street Both Approaches	480	720	600	900	
2B: Traffic Crossing Major Street	50	75	50	75	

The above values are taken from Table 12 and Table 13 from OTM Book 12 (March 2012).

Adjusted Justification Thresholds for Study Intersection Conditions

Justification	Base Threshold	Existing Intersection	"T" Intersection	Final Threshold
1A: All Approach Lanes	720	120%	-	864
1B: Minor Street Both Approaches	170	120%	150%	306
2A: Major Street Both Approaches	720	120%	-	864
2B: Traffic Crossing Major Street	75	120%	-	90

The above adjustments are taken from OTM Book 12 (March 2012) the "T" Intersection adjustment only applies to Justification 1B, and is a 50% increase on the threshold when the study intersection is a "T' intersection. Otherwise a value of 100% is used.

Warrant Calculation

Justification	Study Intersection Justification Volume	Justification Threshold	Percentage Warrant	Warrant Met?
1A: All Approach Lanes	35	864	4%	No
1B: Minor Street Both Approaches	18	306	6%	NO
2A: Major Street Both Approaches	17	864	2%	No
2B: Traffic Crossing Major Street	9	90	10%	NO

Notes: In the case of Justification 7 based on AHV both Warrant 1 and 2 must be met 100%, which requires both the A and B part of each warrant being equal to 100%.

When calculating the percentage, any value greater than 100% is expressed as 100%.

Based on OTM Book 12's Signal Warrant Justification 7 and the estimated AHV for the subject study intersection a signal is:

The grey shaded values are provided for reference only, and are not applicable to the study intersection.



Based Ontario Traffic Manual Book 12 - Traffic Signals (March 2012)

Project and Scenario Sum

Proiect:	Temiskaming Shores Downtown	Coros Transporta	tion Study	Project No.:	10777
Project.	Terniskanning Shores Downtown	r Cores Transporta	lilon Study	Date:	2023-08-22
Horizon:	Future Background	Horizon Year:	2028	Analyst:	GC

Study Intersection Summary

Major Street:	Broadwood	Direction:	East/West
Minor Street:	Edith	Direction:	North/South

Intersection Details for Warrant Parameters

Flow Conditions:	Restricted Flow (Urban)	Number of Lanes:	1
Number of Legs:	Three ("T" Intersection)	Intersection Type:	Existing

Notes: "Free Flow" is used when the operating speed is greater than or equal to 70km/h, "Restricted Flow" otherwise. The Number of Lanes greater than 1 only needs to be for one direction along the major road.

An intersection is considered "New" if at least 1-leg is added to an existing intersection.

Input Volumes and Average Hourly Volume Determination

Peak Hour		Ма	ajor: Br	oadwo	od		Minor: Edith					Pedestrians		
Peak Hour	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR	Crossing Major	
AM	6	32	0	0	22	39	0	0	0	24	0	2	0	
PM	8	47	0	0	41	25	0	0	0	71	0	5	0	
AHV ¹	4	20	0	0	16	16	0	0	0	24	0	2	0	

^{1.} The AHV is determined by the availability of the peak hour estimates. If both the AM and PM Peak Hour Volume estimate is available then AHV = $(AM_{PHV} + PM_{PHV}) / 4$. In the case that only one estimate is available then AHV = $AM_{PHV} / 2$ or AHV = $AM_{PHV} / 2$.

Justification 1A: All Approach Lanes	82
Justification 1B: Minor Street Both Approaches	26

Justification 2A: Major Street Both Approaches	56
Justification 2B: Traffic Crossing Major Street	24

		Total	24
(4) Pedestrians crossing the major street:			
(b) The left turn volume plus the opposing volume > 720 vph	FALSE		
(a) The left turn volume > 120 vph	4	FALSE	
(3) 50% of the heavier left turn movemer street when both of the following criteria		•	0
(2) The heaviest through volume from th	e mino	r street:	0
(1) Left turns from both minor street app	roaches	3:	24
Note: The <u>crossing</u> volume is defined as the	f:		



Based Ontario Traffic Manual Book 12 - Traffic Signals (March 2012)

Project and Scenario Summary

Proiect:	Project No.:	10777			
Froject.	Temiskaming Shores Downtown	Date:	2023-08-22		
Horizon:	Future Background	Horizon Year:	2028	Analyst:	GC

Study Intersection Summary

Major Street:	Broadwood	Direction:	East/West
Minor Street:	Edith	Direction:	North/South

Summary of Base Justification Thresholds

Justification	1 Appro	ach Lane	2 or More Approach Lanes		
Justilication	Free Flow	Restricted Flow	Free Flow	Restricted Flow	
1A: All Approach Lanes	480	720	600	900	
1B: Minor Street Both Approaches	120	170	120	170	
2A: Major Street Both Approaches	480	720	600	900	
2B: Traffic Crossing Major Street	50	75	50	75	

The above values are taken from Table 12 and Table 13 from OTM Book 12 (March 2012).

Adjusted Justification Thresholds for Study Intersection Conditions

Justification	Base Threshold	Existing Intersection	"T" Intersection	Final Threshold
1A: All Approach Lanes	720	120%	-	864
1B: Minor Street Both Approaches	170	120%	150%	306
2A: Major Street Both Approaches	720	120%	-	864
2B: Traffic Crossing Major Street	75	120%	-	90

The above adjustments are taken from OTM Book 12 (March 2012) the "T" Intersection adjustment only applies to Justification 1B, and is a 50% increase on the threshold when the study intersection is a "T' intersection. Otherwise a value of 100% is used.

Warrant Calculation

Justification	Study Intersection Justification Volume	Justification Threshold	Percentage Warrant	Warrant Met?
1A: All Approach Lanes	82	864	9%	No
1B: Minor Street Both Approaches	26	306	8%	NO
2A: Major Street Both Approaches	56	864	6%	No
2B: Traffic Crossing Major Street	24	90	27%	NO

Notes: In the case of Justification 7 based on AHV both Warrant 1 and 2 must be met 100%, which requires both the A and B part of each warrant being equal to 100%.

When calculating the percentage, any value greater than 100% is expressed as 100%.

Based on OTM Book 12's Signal Warrant Justification 7 and the estimated AHV for the subject study intersection a signal is:

The grey shaded values are provided for reference only, and are not applicable to the study intersection.



Based Ontario Traffic Manual Book 12 - Traffic Signals (March 2012)

Project and Scenario Sum

Project:	Temiskaming Shores Downtown	10777			
Project.	Terniskanning Shores Downtown	Date:	2023-08-22		
Horizon:	Future Background	Horizon Year:	2028	Analyst:	GC

Study Intersection Summary

Major Street:	Lakeshore	Direction:	North/South
Minor Street:	Broadwood	Direction:	East/West

Intersection Details for Warrant Parameters

Flow Conditions:	Restricted Flow (Urban)	Number of Lanes:	1
Number of Legs:	Four	Intersection Type:	Existing

Notes: "Free Flow" is used when the operating speed is greater than or equal to 70km/h, "Restricted Flow" otherwise. The Number of Lanes greater than 1 only needs to be for one direction along the major road.

An intersection is considered "New" if at least 1-leg is added to an existing intersection.

Input Volumes and Average Hourly Volume Determination

Peak Hour		Major: Lakeshore					Minor: Broadwood					Pedestrians	
Peak Hour	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	Crossing Major
AM	68	302	4	0	187	9	13	4	46	7	2	3	9
PM	50	288	2	2	332	18	20	4	98	2	0	7	6
AHV ¹	30	148	2	1	130	7	8	2	36	2	1	3	4

^{1.} The AHV is determined by the availability of the peak hour estimates. If both the AM and PM Peak Hour Volume estimate is available then AHV = $(AM_{PHV} + PM_{PHV}) / 4$. In the case that only one estimate is available then AHV = $AM_{PHV} / 2$ or AHV = $AM_{PHV} / 2$.

Justification 1A: All Approach Lanes	370
Justification 1B: Minor Street Both Approaches	52

Justification 2A: Major Street Both Approaches	318
Justification 2B: Traffic Crossing Major Street	16

		Total	16
(4) Pedestrians crossing the major street	4		
(b) The left turn volume plus the opposing volume > 720 vph	160	FALSE	
(a) The left turn volume > 120 vph	30	FALSE	
(3) 50% of the heavier left turn movemer street when both of the following criteria	•	0	
(2) The heaviest through volume from th	e minor	street:	2
(1) Left turns from both minor street app	s:	10	
Note: The <u>crossing</u> volume is defined as the			



Based Ontario Traffic Manual Book 12 - Traffic Signals (March 2012)

Project and Scenario Summary

Proiect:	Temiskaming Shores Downtown	tion Study	Project No.:	10777		
Froject.	Termskaming Shores Downtown	i Cores Transporta	dion Study	Date:	2023-08-22	
Horizon:	Future Background	uture Background Horizon Year: 2028				

Study Intersection Summary

Major Street:	Lakeshore	Direction:	North/South
Minor Street:	Broadwood	Direction:	East/West

Summary of Base Justification Thresholds

Justification	1 Appro	ach Lane	2 or More Approach Lanes		
Justilication	Free Flow	Restricted Flow	Free Flow	Restricted Flow	
1A: All Approach Lanes	480	720	600	900	
1B: Minor Street Both Approaches	120	170	120	170	
2A: Major Street Both Approaches	480	720	600	900	
2B: Traffic Crossing Major Street	50	75	50	75	

The above values are taken from Table 12 and Table 13 from OTM Book 12 (March 2012).

Adjusted Justification Thresholds for Study Intersection Conditions

Justification	Base Threshold	Existing Intersection	"T" Intersection	Final Threshold
1A: All Approach Lanes	720	120%	-	864
1B: Minor Street Both Approaches	170	120%	100%	204
2A: Major Street Both Approaches	720	120%	-	864
2B: Traffic Crossing Major Street	75	120%	-	90

The above adjustments are taken from OTM Book 12 (March 2012) the "T" Intersection adjustment only applies to Justification 1B, and is a 50% increase on the threshold when the study intersection is a "T' intersection. Otherwise a value of 100% is used.

Warrant Calculation

Justification	Study Intersection Justification Volume	Justification Threshold	Percentage Warrant	Warrant Met?						
1A: All Approach Lanes	370	864	43%	No						
1B: Minor Street Both Approaches	52	204	25%	NO						
2A: Major Street Both Approaches	318	864	37%	No						
2B: Traffic Crossing Major Street	16	90	18%	No						

Notes: In the case of Justification 7 based on AHV both Warrant 1 and 2 must be met 100%, which requires both the A and B part of each warrant being equal to 100%.

When calculating the percentage, any value greater than 100% is expressed as 100%.

Based on OTM Book 12's Signal Warrant Justification 7 and the estimated AHV for the subject study intersection a signal is:

The grey shaded values are provided for reference only, and are not applicable to the study intersection.



Based Ontario Traffic Manual Book 12 - Traffic Signals (March 2012)

Project and Scenario Sum

Proiect:	Project No.:	10777					
Project.	Temiskaming Shores Downtown	i Cores Transporta	illon Study	Date: 2023-08-22			
Horizon:	Future Background	Future Background Horizon Year: 2028					

Study Intersection Summary

Major Street:	Lakeshore	Direction:	North/South
Minor Street:	Farah	Direction:	East/West

Intersection Details for Warrant Parameters

Flow Conditions:	Restricted Flow (Urban)	Number of Lanes:	1
Number of Legs:	Four	Intersection Type:	Existing

Notes: "Free Flow" is used when the operating speed is greater than or equal to 70km/h, "Restricted Flow" otherwise. The Number of Lanes greater than 1 only needs to be for one direction along the major road.

An intersection is considered "New" if at least 1-leg is added to an existing intersection.

Input Volumes and Average Hourly Volume Determination

Peak Hour		М	ajor: L	akesho	re		Minor: Farah					Pedestrians	
Peak Hour	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	Crossing Major
AM	6	232	83	34	133	6	8	12	15	41	15	15	10
PM	9	258	47	28	265	9	3	23	23	62	32	18	4
AHV ¹	4	123	33	16	100	4	3	9	10	26	12	8	4

^{1.} The AHV is determined by the availability of the peak hour estimates. If both the AM and PM Peak Hour Volume estimate is available then AHV = $(AM_{PHV} + PM_{PHV}) / 4$. In the case that only one estimate is available then AHV = $AM_{PHV} / 2$ or AHV = $AM_{PHV} / 2$.

Justification 1A: All Approach Lanes	348
Justification 1B: Minor Street Both Approaches	68

Justification 2A: Major Street Both Approaches	280
Justification 2B: Traffic Crossing Major Street	45

		Total	45				
(4) Pedestrians crossing the major street:							
(b) The left turn volume plus the opposing volume > 720 vph	139	FALSE					
(a) The left turn volume > 120 vph	16	FALSE					
(3) 50% of the heavier left turn movement from major street when both of the following criteria are met:							
(2) The heaviest through volume from th	e minor	street:	12				
(1) Left turns from both minor street app	roaches	3:	29				
Note: The <u>crossing</u> volume is defined as the sum of:							



Based Ontario Traffic Manual Book 12 - Traffic Signals (March 2012)

Project and Scenario Summary

Project:	Project No.:	10777			
Project.	Project: Temiskaming Shores Downtown Cores Transportation Study				2023-08-22
Horizon:	Future Background	Horizon Year:	2028	Analyst:	GC

Study Intersection Summary

Major Street:	Lakeshore	Direction:	North/South
Minor Street:	Farah	Direction:	East/West

Summary of Base Justification Thresholds

Justification	1 Appro	ach Lane	2 or More Approach Lanes			
Justilication	Free Flow	Restricted Flow	Free Flow	Restricted Flow		
1A: All Approach Lanes	480	720	600	900		
1B: Minor Street Both Approaches	120	170	120	170		
2A: Major Street Both Approaches	480	720	600	900		
2B: Traffic Crossing Major Street	50	75	50	75		

The above values are taken from Table 12 and Table 13 from OTM Book 12 (March 2012).

Adjusted Justification Thresholds for Study Intersection Conditions

Justification	Base Threshold	Existing Intersection	"T" Intersection	Final Threshold
1A: All Approach Lanes	720	120%	-	864
1B: Minor Street Both Approaches	170	120%	100%	204
2A: Major Street Both Approaches	720	120%	-	864
2B: Traffic Crossing Major Street	75	120%	-	90

The above adjustments are taken from OTM Book 12 (March 2012) the "T" Intersection adjustment only applies to Justification 1B, and is a 50% increase on the threshold when the study intersection is a "T' intersection. Otherwise a value of 100% is used.

Warrant Calculation

Justification	Study Intersection Justification Volume	Justification Threshold	Percentage Warrant	Warrant Met?
1A: All Approach Lanes	348	864	40%	No
1B: Minor Street Both Approaches	68	204	33%	NO
2A: Major Street Both Approaches	280	864	32%	No
2B: Traffic Crossing Major Street	45	90	50%	IAO

Notes: In the case of Justification 7 based on AHV both Warrant 1 and 2 must be met 100%, which requires both the A and B part of each warrant being equal to 100%.

When calculating the percentage, any value greater than 100% is expressed as 100%.

Based on OTM Book 12's Signal Warrant Justification 7 and the estimated AHV for the subject study intersection a signal is:

The grey shaded values are provided for reference only, and are not applicable to the study intersection.



Based Ontario Traffic Manual Book 12 - Traffic Signals (March 2012)

Project and S	cenario Summary
---------------	-----------------

Proiect:	Tomickaming Shares Downtown	caming Shores Downtown Cores Transportation Study						
Project.	Date:	2023-08-22						
Horizon:	Future Background	Horizon Year:	2028	Analyst:	GC			

Study Intersection Summary

Major Street:	Armstrong	Direction:	North/South
Minor Street:	Church	Direction:	East/West

Intersection Details for Warrant Parameters

Flow Conditions:	Restricted Flow (Urban)	Number of Lanes:	1
Number of Legs:	Three ("T" Intersection)	Intersection Type:	Existing

Notes: "Free Flow" is used when the operating speed is greater than or equal to 70km/h, "Restricted Flow" otherwise. The Number of Lanes greater than 1 only needs to be for one direction along the major road.

An intersection is considered "New" if at least 1-leg is added to an existing intersection.

Input Volumes and Average Hourly Volume Determination

Peak Hour		Major: Armstrong				Minor: Church					Pedestrians		
Peak Hour	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	Crossing Major
AM	5	321	0	0	377	90	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
PM	5	574	0	0	453	50	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
AHV ¹	3	224	0	0	208	35	0	0	0	0	0	0	2

^{1.} The AHV is determined by the availability of the peak hour estimates. If both the AM and PM Peak Hour Volume estimate is available then AHV = $(AM_{PHV} + PM_{PHV}) / 4$. In the case that only one estimate is available then AHV = $AM_{PHV} / 2$ or AHV = $AM_{PHV} / 2$.

Justification 1A: All Approach Lanes	470
Justification 1B: Minor Street Both Approaches	0

Justification 2A: Major Street Both Approaches	470
Justification 2B: Traffic Crossing Major Street	2

		Total	2
(4) Pedestrians crossing the major street:			2
(b) The left turn volume plus the opposing volume > 720 vph	211	FALSE	
(a) The left turn volume > 120 vph	3	FALSE	
(3) 50% of the heavier left turn movement from major street when both of the following criteria are met:			0
(2) The heaviest through volume from the	e mino	street:	0
(1) Left turns from both minor street app	roaches	S:	0
Note: The <u>crossing</u> volume is defined as the	sum of	f:	



Based Ontario Traffic Manual Book 12 - Traffic Signals (March 2012)

Project and Scenario Summary

Project:	Temiskaming Shores Downtown	Project No.:	10777		
Project:	Terniskanning Shores Downtown	illon Study	Date:	2023-08-22	
Horizon:	Future Background	Horizon Year:	2028	Analyst:	GC

Study Intersection Summary

Major Street:	Armstrong	Direction:	North/South
Minor Street:	Church	Direction:	East/West

Summary of Base Justification Thresholds

Justification	1 Approach Lane		2 or More Approach Lanes	
Justilication	Free Flow	Restricted Flow	Free Flow	Restricted Flow
1A: All Approach Lanes	480	720	600	900
1B: Minor Street Both Approaches	120	170	120	170
2A: Major Street Both Approaches	480	720	600	900
2B: Traffic Crossing Major Street	50	75	50	75

The above values are taken from Table 12 and Table 13 from OTM Book 12 (March 2012).

Adjusted Justification Thresholds for Study Intersection Conditions

Justification	Base Threshold	Existing Intersection	"T" Intersection	Final Threshold
1A: All Approach Lanes	720	120%	-	864
1B: Minor Street Both Approaches	170	120%	150%	306
2A: Major Street Both Approaches	720	120%	-	864
2B: Traffic Crossing Major Street	75	120%	-	90

The above adjustments are taken from OTM Book 12 (March 2012) the "T" Intersection adjustment only applies to Justification 1B, and is a 50% increase on the threshold when the study intersection is a "T' intersection. Otherwise a value of 100% is used.

Warrant Calculation

Justification	Study Intersection Justification Volume	Justification Threshold	Percentage Warrant	Warrant Met?
1A: All Approach Lanes	470	864	54%	No
1B: Minor Street Both Approaches	0	306	0%	NO
2A: Major Street Both Approaches	470	864	54%	No
2B: Traffic Crossing Major Street	2	90	2%	No

Notes: In the case of Justification 7 based on AHV both Warrant 1 and 2 must be met 100%, which requires both the A and B part of each warrant being equal to 100%.

When calculating the percentage, any value greater than 100% is expressed as 100%.

Based on OTM Book 12's Signal Warrant Justification 7 and the estimated AHV for the subject study intersection a signal is:

The grey shaded values are provided for reference only, and are not applicable to the study intersection.



Based Ontario Traffic Manual Book 12 - Traffic Signals (March 2012)

Project and Scenario Sum

Project:	Temiskaming Shores Downtown	Project No.:	10777		
Project:	Terniskanning Shores Downtown	illon Study	Date:	2023-08-22	
Horizon:	Future Background	Horizon Year:	2028	Analyst:	GC

Study Intersection Summary

Major Street:	Armstrong	Direction:	North/South
Minor Street:	Sherpe	Direction:	East/West

Intersection Details for Warrant Parameters

Flow Conditions:	Restricted Flow (Urban)	Number of Lanes:	1
Number of Legs:	Four	Intersection Type:	Existing

Notes: "Free Flow" is used when the operating speed is greater than or equal to 70km/h, "Restricted Flow" otherwise. The Number of Lanes greater than 1 only needs to be for one direction along the major road.

An intersection is considered "New" if at least 1-leg is added to an existing intersection.

Input Volumes and Average Hourly Volume Determination

Peak Hour		M	ajor: A	rmstro	ng				Minor:	Sherpe)		Pedestrians
Peak Hour	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	Crossing Major
AM	0	330	4	65	454	0	14	2	5	1	0	40	5
PM	0	544	14	37	477	0	36	0	10	0	0	93	2
AHV ¹	0	219	5	26	233	0	13	1	4	0	0	33	2

^{1.} The AHV is determined by the availability of the peak hour estimates. If both the AM and PM Peak Hour Volume estimate is available then AHV = $(AM_{PHV} + PM_{PHV}) / 4$. In the case that only one estimate is available then AHV = $AM_{PHV} / 2$ or AHV = $AM_{PHV} / 2$.

Justification 1A: All Approach Lanes	534
Justification 1B: Minor Street Both Approaches	51

Justification 2A: Major Street Both Approaches	483
Justification 2B: Traffic Crossing Major Street	16

		Total	16
(4) Pedestrians crossing the major stree	t:		2
(b) The left turn volume plus the opposing volume > 720 vph	245	FALSE	
(a) The left turn volume > 120 vph	26	FALSE	
(3) 50% of the heavier left turn movement street when both of the following criteria	0		
(2) The heaviest through volume from th	e minor	street:	1
(1) Left turns from both minor street app	s:	13	
Note: The <u>crossing</u> volume is defined as the	:		



Based Ontario Traffic Manual Book 12 - Traffic Signals (March 2012)

Project and Scenario Summary

Project: Temiskaming Shores Downtown Cores Transportation Study				Project No.:	10777
Project:	Terniskanning Shores Downtown	illon Study	Date:	2023-08-22	
Horizon:	Future Background	Horizon Year:	2028	Analyst:	GC

Study Intersection Summary

Major Street:	Armstrong	Direction:	North/South
Minor Street:	Sherpe	Direction:	East/West

Summary of Base Justification Thresholds

Justification	1 Appro	ach Lane	2 or More Approach Lanes		
Justilication	Free Flow	Restricted Flow	Free Flow	Restricted Flow	
1A: All Approach Lanes	480	720	600	900	
1B: Minor Street Both Approaches	120	170	120	170	
2A: Major Street Both Approaches	480	720	600	900	
2B: Traffic Crossing Major Street	50	75	50	75	

The above values are taken from Table 12 and Table 13 from OTM Book 12 (March 2012).

Adjusted Justification Thresholds for Study Intersection Conditions

Justification	Base Threshold	Existing Intersection	"T" Intersection	Final Threshold
1A: All Approach Lanes	720	120%	-	864
1B: Minor Street Both Approaches	170	120%	100%	204
2A: Major Street Both Approaches	720	120%	-	864
2B: Traffic Crossing Major Street	75	120%	-	90

The above adjustments are taken from OTM Book 12 (March 2012) the "T" Intersection adjustment only applies to Justification 1B, and is a 50% increase on the threshold when the study intersection is a "T' intersection. Otherwise a value of 100% is used.

Warrant Calculation

Justification	Study Intersection Justification Volume	Justification Threshold	Percentage Warrant	Warrant Met?		
1A: All Approach Lanes	534	864	62%	No		
1B: Minor Street Both Approaches	51	204	25%	NO		
2A: Major Street Both Approaches	483	864	56%	No		
2B: Traffic Crossing Major Street	16	90	18%	No		

Notes: In the case of Justification 7 based on AHV both Warrant 1 and 2 must be met 100%, which requires both the A and B part of each warrant being equal to 100%.

When calculating the percentage, any value greater than 100% is expressed as 100%.

Based on OTM Book 12's Signal Warrant Justification 7 and the estimated AHV for the subject study intersection a signal is:

The grey shaded values are provided for reference only, and are not applicable to the study intersection.



Based Ontario Traffic Manual Book 12 - Traffic Signals (March 2012)

Project and Scenario Sum

Project: Temiskaming Shores Downtown Cores Transportation Study			Project No.:	10777	
Project:	Terniskanning Shores Downtown	illon Study	Date:	2023-08-22	
Horizon:	Future Background	Horizon Year:	2028	Analyst:	GC

Study Intersection Summary

Major Street:	Main	Direction:	East/West
Minor Street:	Rorke	Direction:	North/South

Intersection Details for Warrant Parameters

Flow Conditions:	Restricted Flow (Urban)	Number of Lanes:	1
Number of Legs:	Four	Intersection Type:	Existing

Notes: "Free Flow" is used when the operating speed is greater than or equal to 70km/h, "Restricted Flow" otherwise. The Number of Lanes greater than 1 only needs to be for one direction along the major road.

An intersection is considered "New" if at least 1-leg is added to an existing intersection.

Input Volumes and Average Hourly Volume Determination

Peak Hour			Major	: Main			Minor: Rorke					Pedestrians	
Peak Hour	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR	Crossing Major
AM	10	39	38	82	50	9	61	19	192	5	21	14	1
PM	14	84	73	206	60	9	52	24	127	5	24	11	5
AHV ¹	6	31	28	72	28	5	28	11	80	3	11	6	2

^{1.} The AHV is determined by the availability of the peak hour estimates. If both the AM and PM Peak Hour Volume estimate is available then AHV = $(AM_{PHV} + PM_{PHV}) / 4$. In the case that only one estimate is available then AHV = $AM_{PHV} / 2$ or AHV = $AM_{PHV} / 2$.

Justification 1A: All Approach Lanes	309
Justification 1B: Minor Street Both Approaches	139

Justification 2A: Major Street Both Approaches	170
Justification 2B: Traffic Crossing Major Street	44

		Total	44		
(4) Pedestrians crossing the major stree	t:		2		
(b) The left turn volume plus the opposing volume > 720 vph					
(a) The left turn volume > 120 vph	72	FALSE			
` '	(3) 50% of the heavier left turn movement from major street when both of the following criteria are met:				
(2) The heaviest through volume from th	e minor	street:	11		
(1) Left turns from both minor street app	s:	31			
Note: The <u>crossing</u> volume is defined as the	:				



Based Ontario Traffic Manual Book 12 - Traffic Signals (March 2012)

Project and Scenario Summary

Project:	Temiskaming Shores Downtown Cores Transportation Study		Project No.:	10777	
Project.	Project: Temiskaming Shores Downtown Cores Transportation Study				2023-08-22
Horizon:	Future Background	Horizon Year:	2028	Analyst:	GC

Study Intersection Summary

Major Street:	Main	Direction:	East/West
Minor Street:	Rorke	Direction:	North/South

Summary of Base Justification Thresholds

Justification	1 Appro	ach Lane	2 or More Approach Lanes		
Justilication	Free Flow	Restricted Flow	Free Flow	Restricted Flow	
1A: All Approach Lanes	480	720	600	900	
1B: Minor Street Both Approaches	120	170	120	170	
2A: Major Street Both Approaches	480	720	600	900	
2B: Traffic Crossing Major Street	50	75	50	75	

The above values are taken from Table 12 and Table 13 from OTM Book 12 (March 2012).

Adjusted Justification Thresholds for Study Intersection Conditions

Justification	Base Threshold	Existing Intersection	"T" Intersection	Final Threshold
1A: All Approach Lanes	720	120%	-	864
1B: Minor Street Both Approaches	170	120%	100%	204
2A: Major Street Both Approaches	720	120%	-	864
2B: Traffic Crossing Major Street	75	120%	-	90

The above adjustments are taken from OTM Book 12 (March 2012) the "T" Intersection adjustment only applies to Justification 1B, and is a 50% increase on the threshold when the study intersection is a "T' intersection. Otherwise a value of 100% is used.

Warrant Calculation

Justification	Study Intersection Justification Volume	Justification Threshold	Percentage Warrant	Warrant Met?
1A: All Approach Lanes	309	864	36%	No
1B: Minor Street Both Approaches	139	204	68%	NO
2A: Major Street Both Approaches	170	864	20%	No
2B: Traffic Crossing Major Street	44	90	49%	NO

Notes: In the case of Justification 7 based on AHV both Warrant 1 and 2 must be met 100%, which requires both the A and B part of each warrant being equal to 100%.

When calculating the percentage, any value greater than 100% is expressed as 100%.

Based on OTM Book 12's Signal Warrant Justification 7 and the estimated AHV for the subject study intersection a signal is:

The grey shaded values are provided for reference only, and are not applicable to the study intersection.



Based Ontario Traffic Manual Book 12 - Traffic Signals (March 2012)

Project and Scenario Sum

Project: Temiskaming Shores Downtown Cores Transportation Study					10777
Froject.	Termskaming Shores Downtown	i Cores Transporta	Date:	2023-08-22	
Horizon:	Future Background	Horizon Year:	2028	Analyst:	GC

Study Intersection Summary

Major Street:	Main	Direction:	East/West
Minor Street:	Gorgina	Direction:	North/South

Intersection Details for Warrant Parameters

Flow Conditions:	Restricted Flow (Urban)	Number of Lanes:	1
Number of Legs:	Four	Intersection Type:	Existing

Notes: "Free Flow" is used when the operating speed is greater than or equal to 70km/h, "Restricted Flow" otherwise. The Number of Lanes greater than 1 only needs to be for one direction along the major road.

An intersection is considered "New" if at least 1-leg is added to an existing intersection.

Input Volumes and Average Hourly Volume Determination

Peak Hour			Major	: Main				ı	Minor: (Gorgin	a		Pedestrians
Peak Hour	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR	Crossing Major
AM	4	238	7	8	126	3	12	7	43	1	0	4	3
PM	4	192	7	32	280	7	12	3	30	4	4	5	11
AHV ¹	2	108	4	10	102	3	6	3	18	1	1	2	4

^{1.} The AHV is determined by the availability of the peak hour estimates. If both the AM and PM Peak Hour Volume estimate is available then AHV = $(AM_{PHV} + PM_{PHV}) / 4$. In the case that only one estimate is available then AHV = $AM_{PHV} / 2$ or AHV = $AM_{PHV} / 2$.

Justification 1A: All Approach Lanes	260
Justification 1B: Minor Street Both Approaches	31

Justification 2A: Major Street Both Approaches	229
Justification 2B: Traffic Crossing Major Street	14

		Total	14
(4) Pedestrians crossing the major stree	t:	•	4
(b) The left turn volume plus the opposing volume > 720 vph	118	FALSE	
(a) The left turn volume > 120 vph	10	FALSE	
(3) 50% of the heavier left turn movemer street when both of the following criteria		•	0
(2) The heaviest through volume from th	e minor	street:	3
(1) Left turns from both minor street app	roaches	3:	7
Note: The <u>crossing</u> volume is defined as the	sum of	:	



Based Ontario Traffic Manual Book 12 - Traffic Signals (March 2012)

Project and Scenario Summary

Proiect:	Temiskaming Shores Downtown	Coros Transporta	tion Study	Project No.:	10777
Project.	Terniskanning Shores Downtown	r Cores Transporta	illon Study	Date:	2023-08-22
Horizon:	Future Background	Horizon Year:	2028	Analyst:	GC

Study Intersection Summary

Major Street:	Main	Direction:	East/West
Minor Street:	Gorgina	Direction:	North/South

Summary of Base Justification Thresholds

Justification	1 Appro	ach Lane	2 or More Approach Lanes		
Justilication	Free Flow	Restricted Flow	Free Flow	Restricted Flow	
1A: All Approach Lanes	480	720	600	900	
1B: Minor Street Both Approaches	120	170	120	170	
2A: Major Street Both Approaches	480	720	600	900	
2B: Traffic Crossing Major Street	50	75	50	75	

The above values are taken from Table 12 and Table 13 from OTM Book 12 (March 2012).

Adjusted Justification Thresholds for Study Intersection Conditions

Justification	Base Threshold	Existing Intersection	"T" Intersection	Final Threshold
1A: All Approach Lanes	720	120%	-	864
1B: Minor Street Both Approaches	170	120%	100%	204
2A: Major Street Both Approaches	720	120%	-	864
2B: Traffic Crossing Major Street	75	120%	-	90

The above adjustments are taken from OTM Book 12 (March 2012) the "T" Intersection adjustment only applies to Justification 1B, and is a 50% increase on the threshold when the study intersection is a "T' intersection. Otherwise a value of 100% is used.

Warrant Calculation

Justification	Study Intersection Justification Volume	Justification Threshold	Percentage Warrant	Warrant Met?
1A: All Approach Lanes	260	864	30%	No
1B: Minor Street Both Approaches	31	204	15%	NO
2A: Major Street Both Approaches	229	864	27%	No
2B: Traffic Crossing Major Street	14	90	16%	NO

Notes: In the case of Justification 7 based on AHV both Warrant 1 and 2 must be met 100%, which requires both the A and B part of each warrant being equal to 100%.

When calculating the percentage, any value greater than 100% is expressed as 100%.

Based on OTM Book 12's Signal Warrant Justification 7 and the estimated AHV for the subject study intersection a signal is:

The grey shaded values are provided for reference only, and are not applicable to the study intersection.



Based Ontario Traffic Manual Book 12 - Traffic Signals (March 2012)

Project and Scenario Sum

Project: Temiskaming Shores Downtown Cores Transportation Study				Project No.:	10777
Project.	Terniskanning Shores Downtown	r Cores Transporta	Date: 2023-08-22		
Horizon:	Future Background	Horizon Year:	2028	Analyst:	GC

Study Intersection Summary

Major Street:	Main	Direction:	East/West
Minor Street:	Ferguson	Direction:	North/South

Intersection Details for Warrant Parameters

Flow Conditions:	Restricted Flow (Urban)	Number of Lanes:	1
Number of Legs:	Four	Intersection Type:	Existing

Notes: "Free Flow" is used when the operating speed is greater than or equal to 70km/h, "Restricted Flow" otherwise. The Number of Lanes greater than 1 only needs to be for one direction along the major road.

An intersection is considered "New" if at least 1-leg is added to an existing intersection.

Input Volumes and Average Hourly Volume Determination

Peak Hour		Major: Main					Minor: Ferguson				Pedestrians		
Peak Hour	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR	Crossing Major
AM	243	19	6	1	13	44	6	11	0	29	21	106	7
PM	208	27	12	0	18	52	7	13	0	20	40	269	21
AHV ¹	113	12	5	0	8	24	3	6	0	12	15	94	7

^{1.} The AHV is determined by the availability of the peak hour estimates. If both the AM and PM Peak Hour Volume estimate is available then AHV = $(AM_{PHV} + PM_{PHV}) / 4$. In the case that only one estimate is available then AHV = $AM_{PHV} / 2$ or AHV = $AM_{PHV} / 2$.

Justification 1A: All Approach Lanes	292
Justification 1B: Minor Street Both Approaches	130

Justification 2A: Major Street Both Approaches	162
Justification 2B: Traffic Crossing Major Street	37

(4) Pedestrians crossing the major stree	et:	Total	7		
(b) The left turn volume plus the opposing volume > 720 vph	121	FALSE			
(a) The left turn volume > 120 vph	113	FALSE			
(3) 50% of the heavier left turn moveme street when both of the following criteria	0				
(2) The heaviest through volume from the	street:	15			
(1) Left turns from both minor street app	s:	15			
Note: The <u>crossing</u> volume is defined as the sum of:					



Based Ontario Traffic Manual Book 12 - Traffic Signals (March 2012)

Project and Scenario Summary

Project: Temiskaming Shores Downtown Cores Transportation Study		tion Study	Project No.:	10777	
Froject.	Termskaming Shores Downtown	Date: 2023-08-22			2023-08-22
Horizon:	Future Background	Horizon Year:	2028	Analyst:	GC

Study Intersection Summary

Major Street:	Main	Direction:	East/West
Minor Street:	Ferguson	Direction:	North/South

Summary of Base Justification Thresholds

Justification	1 Appro	ach Lane	2 or More Approach Lanes		
Justilication	Free Flow	Restricted Flow	Free Flow	Restricted Flow	
1A: All Approach Lanes	480	720	600	900	
1B: Minor Street Both Approaches	120	170	120	170	
2A: Major Street Both Approaches	480	720	600	900	
2B: Traffic Crossing Major Street	50	75	50	75	

The above values are taken from Table 12 and Table 13 from OTM Book 12 (March 2012).

Adjusted Justification Thresholds for Study Intersection Conditions

Justification	Base Threshold	Existing Intersection	"T" Intersection	Final Threshold
1A: All Approach Lanes	720	120%	-	864
1B: Minor Street Both Approaches	170	120%	100%	204
2A: Major Street Both Approaches	720	120%	-	864
2B: Traffic Crossing Major Street	75	120%	-	90

The above adjustments are taken from OTM Book 12 (March 2012) the "T" Intersection adjustment only applies to Justification 1B, and is a 50% increase on the threshold when the study intersection is a "T' intersection. Otherwise a value of 100% is used.

Warrant Calculation

Justification	Study Intersection Justification Volume	Justification Threshold	Percentage Warrant	Warrant Met?
1A: All Approach Lanes	292	864	34%	No
1B: Minor Street Both Approaches	130	204	64%	NO
2A: Major Street Both Approaches	162	864	19%	No
2B: Traffic Crossing Major Street	37	90	41%	No

Notes: In the case of Justification 7 based on AHV both Warrant 1 and 2 must be met 100%, which requires both the A and B part of each warrant being equal to 100%.

When calculating the percentage, any value greater than 100% is expressed as 100%.

Based on OTM Book 12's Signal Warrant Justification 7 and the estimated AHV for the subject study intersection a signal is:

The grey shaded values are provided for reference only, and are not applicable to the study intersection.



Based Ontario Traffic Manual Book 12 - Traffic Signals (March 2012)

Project and Scenario Sum

Proiect:	Temiskaming Shores Downtown	Coros Transporta	tion Study	Project No.:	10777
Froject.	Termskaming Shores Downtown	i Cores Transporta	dion Study	Date:	2023-08-22
Horizon:	Future Background	Horizon Year:	2028	Analyst:	GC

Study Intersection Summary

Major Street:	Ferguson	Direction:	North/South
Minor Street:	Broadway	Direction:	East/West

Intersection Details for Warrant Parameters

Flow Conditions:	Restricted Flow (Urban)	Number of Lanes:	1
Number of Legs:	Four	Intersection Type:	Existing

Notes: "Free Flow" is used when the operating speed is greater than or equal to 70km/h, "Restricted Flow" otherwise. The Number of Lanes greater than 1 only needs to be for one direction along the major road.

An intersection is considered "New" if at least 1-leg is added to an existing intersection.

Input Volumes and Average Hourly Volume Determination

Peak Hour	Major: Ferguson				Minor: Broadway				Pedestrians				
Peak Hour	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	Crossing Major
AM	1	299	0	20	148	10	6	0	1	5	2	23	0
PM	1	248	26	27	307	27	5	4	0	19	3	32	2
AHV ¹	1	137	7	12	114	9	3	1	0	6	1	14	1

^{1.} The AHV is determined by the availability of the peak hour estimates. If both the AM and PM Peak Hour Volume estimate is available then AHV = $(AM_{PHV} + PM_{PHV}) / 4$. In the case that only one estimate is available then AHV = $AM_{PHV} / 2$ or AHV = $AM_{PHV} / 2$.

Justification 1A: All Approach Lanes	305
Justification 1B: Minor Street Both Approaches	25

Justification 2A: Major Street Both Approaches	280
Justification 2B: Traffic Crossing Major Street	11

		Total	11		
(4) Pedestrians crossing the major street:					
(b) The left turn volume plus the opposing volume > 720 vph	149	FALSE			
(a) The left turn volume > 120 vph	12	FALSE			
(3) 50% of the heavier left turn movement from major street when both of the following criteria are met:					
(2) The heaviest through volume from th	e minor	street:	1		
(1) Left turns from both minor street app	3:	9			
Note: The crossing volume is defined as the	:				



Based Ontario Traffic Manual Book 12 - Traffic Signals (March 2012)

Project and Scenario Summary

Proiect:	Temiskaming Shores Downtown	Coros Transporta	tion Study	Project No.:	10777
Project.	Terniskanning Shores Downtown	r Cores Transporta	illon Study	Date:	2023-08-22
Horizon:	Future Background	Horizon Year:	2028	Analyst:	GC

Study Intersection Summary

Major Street:	Ferguson	Direction:	North/South
Minor Street:	Broadway	Direction:	East/West

Summary of Base Justification Thresholds

Justification	1 Appro	ach Lane	2 or More Approach Lanes		
Justilication	Free Flow	Restricted Flow	Free Flow	Restricted Flow	
1A: All Approach Lanes	480	720	600	900	
1B: Minor Street Both Approaches	120	170	120	170	
2A: Major Street Both Approaches	480	720	600	900	
2B: Traffic Crossing Major Street	50	75	50	75	

The above values are taken from Table 12 and Table 13 from OTM Book 12 (March 2012).

Adjusted Justification Thresholds for Study Intersection Conditions

Justification	Base Threshold	Existing Intersection	"T" Intersection	Final Threshold
1A: All Approach Lanes	720	120%	-	864
1B: Minor Street Both Approaches	170	120%	100%	204
2A: Major Street Both Approaches	720	120%	-	864
2B: Traffic Crossing Major Street	75	120%	-	90

The above adjustments are taken from OTM Book 12 (March 2012) the "T" Intersection adjustment only applies to Justification 1B, and is a 50% increase on the threshold when the study intersection is a "T' intersection. Otherwise a value of 100% is used.

Warrant Calculation

Justification	Study Intersection Justification Volume	Justification Threshold	Percentage Warrant	Warrant Met?	
1A: All Approach Lanes	305	864	35%	No	
1B: Minor Street Both Approaches	25	204	12%	INO	
2A: Major Street Both Approaches	280	864	32%	No	
2B: Traffic Crossing Major Street	11	90	12%	NO	

Notes: In the case of Justification 7 based on AHV both Warrant 1 and 2 must be met 100%, which requires both the A and B part of each warrant being equal to 100%.

When calculating the percentage, any value greater than 100% is expressed as 100%.

Based on OTM Book 12's Signal Warrant Justification 7 and the estimated AHV for the subject study intersection a signal is:

The grey shaded values are provided for reference only, and are not applicable to the study intersection.



Based Ontario Traffic Manual Book 12 - Traffic Signals (March 2012)

Proiect:	Temiskaming Shores Downtown	Coros Transporta	tion Study	Project No.:	10777
Project.	Terniskanning Shores Downtown	Date:	2023-08-22		
Horizon:	Future Background	Horizon Year:	2028	Analyst:	GC

Study Intersection Summary

Major Street:	Ferguson	Direction:	North/South
Minor Street:	Browning	Direction:	East/West

Intersection Details for Warrant Parameters

Flow Conditions:	Restricted Flow (Urban)	Number of Lanes:	1
Number of Legs:	Four	Intersection Type:	Existing

Notes: "Free Flow" is used when the operating speed is greater than or equal to 70km/h, "Restricted Flow" otherwise. The Number of Lanes greater than 1 only needs to be for one direction along the major road.

An intersection is considered "New" if at least 1-leg is added to an existing intersection.

Input Volumes and Average Hourly Volume Determination

Peak Hour	Major: Ferguson					Minor: Browning					Pedestrians		
Peak Hour	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	Crossing Major
AM	0	313	1	3	190	5	9	2	0	5	0	1	2
PM	4	289	4	5	344	8	2	0	2	7	3	5	2
AHV ¹	1	151	1	2	134	3	3	1	1	3	1	2	1

^{1.} The AHV is determined by the availability of the peak hour estimates. If both the AM and PM Peak Hour Volume estimate is available then AHV = $(AM_{PHV} + PM_{PHV}) / 4$. In the case that only one estimate is available then AHV = $AM_{PHV} / 2$ or AHV = $AM_{PHV} / 2$.

Justification 1A: All Approach Lanes	303
Justification 1B: Minor Street Both Approaches	11

Justification 2A: Major Street Both Approaches	292
Justification 2B: Traffic Crossing Major Street	8

(4) Pedestrians crossing the major stree		1					
(b) The left turn volume plus the opposing volume > 720 vph							
(a) The left turn volume > 120 vph	2	FALSE					
(3) 50% of the heavier left turn movements street when both of the following criteria	0						
(2) The heaviest through volume from the	e minor	street:	1				
(1) Left turns from both minor street app	(1) Left turns from both minor street approaches:						
Note: The crossing volume is defined as the	:						



Based Ontario Traffic Manual Book 12 - Traffic Signals (March 2012)

Project and Scenario Summary

Proiect:	Temiskaming Shores Downtown	Coros Transporta	tion Study	Project No.:	10777
Project.	Terniskanning Shores Downtown	Date:	2023-08-22		
Horizon:	Future Background	Horizon Year:	2028	Analyst:	GC

Study Intersection Summary

Major Street:	Ferguson	Direction:	North/South
Minor Street:	Browning	Direction:	East/West

Summary of Base Justification Thresholds

Justification	1 Appro	ach Lane	2 or More Approach Lanes		
Justilication	Free Flow	Restricted Flow	Free Flow	Restricted Flow	
1A: All Approach Lanes	480	720	600	900	
1B: Minor Street Both Approaches	120	170	120	170	
2A: Major Street Both Approaches	480	720	600	900	
2B: Traffic Crossing Major Street	50	75	50	75	

The above values are taken from Table 12 and Table 13 from OTM Book 12 (March 2012).

Adjusted Justification Thresholds for Study Intersection Conditions

Justification	Base Threshold	Existing Intersection	"T" Intersection	Final Threshold
1A: All Approach Lanes	720	120%	-	864
1B: Minor Street Both Approaches	170	120%	100%	204
2A: Major Street Both Approaches	720	120%	-	864
2B: Traffic Crossing Major Street	75	120%	-	90

The above adjustments are taken from OTM Book 12 (March 2012) the "T" Intersection adjustment only applies to Justification 1B, and is a 50% increase on the threshold when the study intersection is a "T' intersection. Otherwise a value of 100% is used.

Warrant Calculation

Justification	Study Intersection Justification Volume	Justification Threshold	Percentage Warrant	Warrant Met?
1A: All Approach Lanes	303	864	35%	No
1B: Minor Street Both Approaches	11	204	5%	NO
2A: Major Street Both Approaches	292	864	34%	No
2B: Traffic Crossing Major Street	8	90	9%	INO

Notes: In the case of Justification 7 based on AHV both Warrant 1 and 2 must be met 100%, which requires both the A and B part of each warrant being equal to 100%.

When calculating the percentage, any value greater than 100% is expressed as 100%.

Based on OTM Book 12's Signal Warrant Justification 7 and the estimated AHV for the subject study intersection a signal is:

The grey shaded values are provided for reference only, and are not applicable to the study intersection.



Based Ontario Traffic Manual Book 12 - Traffic Signals (March 2012)

Project and Scenario Sum

Proiect:	Tomickaming Shares Downtown	Project No.:	10777		
Project.	Project: Temiskaming Shores Downtown Cores Transportation Study		Date:	2023-08-22	
Horizon:	Future Background	Horizon Year:	2043	Analyst:	GC

Study Intersection Summary

Major Street:	Whitewood Ave	Direction:	East/West
Minor Street:	Golding St	Direction:	North/South

Intersection Details for Warrant Parameters

Flow Conditions:	Restricted Flow (Urban)	Number of Lanes:	1
Number of Legs:	Three ("T" Intersection)	Intersection Type:	Existing

Notes: "Free Flow" is used when the operating speed is greater than or equal to 70km/h, "Restricted Flow" otherwise. The Number of Lanes greater than 1 only needs to be for one direction along the major road.

An intersection is considered "New" if at least 1-leg is added to an existing intersection.

Input Volumes and Average Hourly Volume Determination

Peak Hour		Major: Whitewood Ave					Minor: Golding St					Pedestrians	
Peak Hour	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR	Crossing Major
AM	0	486	16	16	335	0	16	0	30	0	0	0	0
PM	0	485	30	16	532	0	15	0	28	0	0	0	0
AHV ¹	0	243	12	8	217	0	8	0	15	0	0	0	0

^{1.} The AHV is determined by the availability of the peak hour estimates. If both the AM and PM Peak Hour Volume estimate is available then AHV = $(AM_{PHV} + PM_{PHV}) / 4$. In the case that only one estimate is available then AHV = $AM_{PHV} / 2$ or AHV = $PM_{PHV} / 2$.

Justification 1A: All Approach Lanes	503
Justification 1B: Minor Street Both Approaches	23

Justification 2A: Major Street Both Approaches	480
Justification 2B: Traffic Crossing Major Street	8

Total	Q				
(4) Pedestrians crossing the major street:	0				
(b) The left turn volume plus the opposing volume > 720 vph 251 FALSE					
(a) The left turn volume > 120 vph 8 FALSE					
(3) 50% of the heavier left turn movement from major street when both of the following criteria are met:	0				
(2) The heaviest through volume from the minor street:	0				
(1) Left turns from both minor street approaches:	8				
Note: The <u>crossing</u> volume is defined as the sum of:					



Based Ontario Traffic Manual Book 12 - Traffic Signals (March 2012)

Project and Scenario Summary

Proiect:	Temiskaming Shores Downtown	Project No.:	10777		
Project.	Terniskanning Shores Downtown	Date:	2023-08-22		
Horizon:	Future Background	Horizon Year:	2043	Analyst:	GC

Study Intersection Summary

Major Street:	Whitewood Ave	Direction:	East/West
Minor Street:	Golding St	Direction:	North/South

Summary of Base Justification Thresholds

Justification	1 Appro	ach Lane	2 or More Approach Lanes			
Justilication	Free Flow Restricted Flow		Free Flow	Restricted Flow		
1A: All Approach Lanes	480	720	600	900		
1B: Minor Street Both Approaches	120	170	120	170		
2A: Major Street Both Approaches	480	720	600	900		
2B: Traffic Crossing Major Street	50	75	50	75		

The above values are taken from Table 12 and Table 13 from OTM Book 12 (March 2012).

Adjusted Justification Thresholds for Study Intersection Conditions

Justification	Base Threshold	Existing Intersection	"T" Intersection	Final Threshold
1A: All Approach Lanes	720	120%	-	864
1B: Minor Street Both Approaches	170	120%	150%	306
2A: Major Street Both Approaches	720	120%	-	864
2B: Traffic Crossing Major Street	75	120%	-	90

The above adjustments are taken from OTM Book 12 (March 2012) the "T" Intersection adjustment only applies to Justification 1B, and is a 50% increase on the threshold when the study intersection is a "T' intersection. Otherwise a value of 100% is used.

Warrant Calculation

Justification	Study Intersection Justification Volume	Justification Threshold	Percentage Warrant	Warrant Met?
1A: All Approach Lanes	503	864	58%	No
1B: Minor Street Both Approaches	23	306	8%	NO
2A: Major Street Both Approaches	480	864	56%	No
2B: Traffic Crossing Major Street	8	90	9%	NO

Notes: In the case of Justification 7 based on AHV both Warrant 1 and 2 must be met 100%, which requires both the A and B part of each warrant being equal to 100%.

When calculating the percentage, any value greater than 100% is expressed as 100%.

Based on OTM Book 12's Signal Warrant Justification 7 and the estimated AHV for the subject study intersection a signal is:

The grey shaded values are provided for reference only, and are not applicable to the study intersection.



Based Ontario Traffic Manual Book 12 - Traffic Signals (March 2012)

Project and Scenario Sum

Proiect:	Tomickaming Shores Downtown	Project No.:	10777		
Project: Temiskaming Shores Downtown Cores Transportation Study		Terniskaming Shores Downtown Cores Transportation Study		Date:	2023-08-22
Horizon:	Future Background	Horizon Year:	2043	Analyst:	GC

Study Intersection Summary

Major Street:	Whitewood Ave	Direction:	East/West
Minor Street:	John	Direction:	North/South

Intersection Details for Warrant Parameters

Flow Conditions:	Restricted Flow (Urban)	Number of Lanes:	1
Number of Legs:	Four	Intersection Type:	Existing

Notes: "Free Flow" is used when the operating speed is greater than or equal to 70km/h, "Restricted Flow" otherwise. The Number of Lanes greater than 1 only needs to be for one direction along the major road.

An intersection is considered "New" if at least 1-leg is added to an existing intersection.

Input Volumes and Average Hourly Volume Determination

Peak Hour	Major: Whitewood Ave					Minor: John					Pedestrians		
Peak Hour	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR	Crossing Major
AM	15	387	4	4	344	8	4	0	5	11	4	15	7
PM	14	521	7	5	436	12	4	0	9	11	3	8	6
AHV ¹	7	227	3	2	195	5	2	0	4	6	2	6	3

^{1.} The AHV is determined by the availability of the peak hour estimates. If both the AM and PM Peak Hour Volume estimate is available then AHV = $(AM_{PHV} + PM_{PHV}) / 4$. In the case that only one estimate is available then AHV = $AM_{PHV} / 2$ or AHV = $PM_{PHV} / 2$.

Justification 1A: All Approach Lanes	459
Justification 1B: Minor Street Both Approaches	20

Justification 2A: Major Street Both Approaches	439
Justification 2B: Traffic Crossing Major Street	13

(2) The heaviest through volume from the minor street: (3) 50% of the heavier left turn movement from major	
street when both of the following criteria are met:	0
(a) The left turn volume > 120 vph 7 FALSE	
(b) The left turn volume plus the opposing volume > 720 vph 202 FALSE	
(4) Pedestrians crossing the major street:	3
(4) Fedestrians crossing the major street.	0



Based Ontario Traffic Manual Book 12 - Traffic Signals (March 2012)

Project and Scenario Summary

Proiect:	Temiskaming Shores Downtown	Coros Transporta	tion Study	Project No.:	10777
Froject.	Termskaming Shores Downtown	Date:	2023-08-22		
Horizon:	Future Background	Horizon Year:	2043	Analyst:	GC

Study Intersection Summary

Major Street:	Whitewood Ave	Direction:	East/West
Minor Street:	John	Direction:	North/South

Summary of Base Justification Thresholds

Justification	1 Appro	ach Lane	2 or More Approach Lanes			
Justilication	Free Flow Restricted Flow		Free Flow	Restricted Flow		
1A: All Approach Lanes	480	720	600	900		
1B: Minor Street Both Approaches	120	170	120	170		
2A: Major Street Both Approaches	480	720	600	900		
2B: Traffic Crossing Major Street	50	75	50	75		

The above values are taken from Table 12 and Table 13 from OTM Book 12 (March 2012).

Adjusted Justification Thresholds for Study Intersection Conditions

Justification	Base Threshold	Existing Intersection	"T" Intersection	Final Threshold
1A: All Approach Lanes	720	120%	-	864
1B: Minor Street Both Approaches	170	120%	100%	204
2A: Major Street Both Approaches	720	120%	-	864
2B: Traffic Crossing Major Street	75	120%	-	90

The above adjustments are taken from OTM Book 12 (March 2012) the "T" Intersection adjustment only applies to Justification 1B, and is a 50% increase on the threshold when the study intersection is a "T' intersection. Otherwise a value of 100% is used.

Warrant Calculation

Justification	Study Intersection Justification Volume	Justification Threshold	Percentage Warrant	Warrant Met?
1A: All Approach Lanes	459	864	53%	No
1B: Minor Street Both Approaches	20	204	10%	NO
2A: Major Street Both Approaches	439	864	51%	No
2B: Traffic Crossing Major Street	13	90	14%	No

Notes: In the case of Justification 7 based on AHV both Warrant 1 and 2 must be met 100%, which requires both the A and B part of each warrant being equal to 100%.

When calculating the percentage, any value greater than 100% is expressed as 100%.

Based on OTM Book 12's Signal Warrant Justification 7 and the estimated AHV for the subject study intersection a signal is:

The grey shaded values are provided for reference only, and are not applicable to the study intersection.



Based Ontario Traffic Manual Book 12 - Traffic Signals (March 2012)

Project and Scenario Sum

Proiect:	Temiskaming Shores Downtown	Coros Transporta	tion Study	Project No.:	10777
Froject.	Termskaming Shores Downtown	i Cores Transporta	dion Study	Date:	2023-08-22
Horizon:	Future Background	Horizon Year:	2043	Analyst:	GC

Study Intersection Summary

Major Street:	Whitewood Ave	Direction:	East/West
Minor Street:	Mary	Direction:	North/South

Intersection Details for Warrant Parameters

Flow Conditions:	Restricted Flow (Urban)	Number of Lanes:	1
Number of Legs:	Four	Intersection Type:	Existing

Notes: "Free Flow" is used when the operating speed is greater than or equal to 70km/h, "Restricted Flow" otherwise. The Number of Lanes greater than 1 only needs to be for one direction along the major road.

An intersection is considered "New" if at least 1-leg is added to an existing intersection.

Input Volumes and Average Hourly Volume Determination

Peak Hour		Major: Whitewood Ave						Minor: Mary					Pedestrians
Peak Hour	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR	Crossing Major
AM	23	369	8	4	368	8	2	3	2	8	4	10	3
PM	22	504	9	7	448	6	1	4	5	8	2	12	5
AHV ¹	11	218	4	3	204	4	1	2	2	4	2	6	2

^{1.} The AHV is determined by the availability of the peak hour estimates. If both the AM and PM Peak Hour Volume estimate is available then AHV = $(AM_{PHV} + PM_{PHV}) / 4$. In the case that only one estimate is available then AHV = $AM_{PHV} / 2$ or AHV = $AM_{PHV} / 2$.

Justification 1A: All Approach Lanes	461
Justification 1B: Minor Street Both Approaches	17

Justification 2A: Major Street Both Approaches	444
Justification 2B: Traffic Crossing Major Street	9

Note: The <u>crossing</u> volume is defined as the sum of:		
(1) Left turns from both minor street approaches:		5
(2) The heaviest through volume from the minor s	treet:	2
(3) 50% of the heavier left turn movement from ma street when both of the following criteria are met:	0	
(a) The left turn volume > 120 vph 11	FALSE	
(b) The left turn volume plus the opposing volume > 720 vph 215	FALSE	
(4) Pedestrians crossing the major street:	2	
	Total	9



Based Ontario Traffic Manual Book 12 - Traffic Signals (March 2012)

Project and Scenario Summary

Proiect:	Temiskaming Shores Downtown Cores Transportation Study Project No.: 1						
Project.	Terniskanning Shores Downtown	Date:	2023-08-22				
Horizon:	Future Background	Horizon Year:	2043	Analyst:	GC		

Study Intersection Summary

Major Street:	Whitewood Ave	Direction:	East/West
Minor Street:	Mary	Direction:	North/South

Summary of Base Justification Thresholds

Justification	1 Appro	ach Lane	2 or More Approach Lanes		
Justilication	Free Flow	Restricted Flow	Free Flow	Restricted Flow	
1A: All Approach Lanes	480	720	600	900	
1B: Minor Street Both Approaches	120	170	120	170	
2A: Major Street Both Approaches	480	720	600	900	
2B: Traffic Crossing Major Street	50	75	50	75	

The above values are taken from Table 12 and Table 13 from OTM Book 12 (March 2012).

Adjusted Justification Thresholds for Study Intersection Conditions

Justification	Base Threshold	Existing Intersection	"T" Intersection	Final Threshold
1A: All Approach Lanes	720	120%	-	864
1B: Minor Street Both Approaches	170	120%	100%	204
2A: Major Street Both Approaches	720	120%	-	864
2B: Traffic Crossing Major Street	75	120%	-	90

The above adjustments are taken from OTM Book 12 (March 2012) the "T" Intersection adjustment only applies to Justification 1B, and is a 50% increase on the threshold when the study intersection is a "T' intersection. Otherwise a value of 100% is used.

Warrant Calculation

Justification	Study Intersection Justification Volume	Justification Threshold	Percentage Warrant	Warrant Met?
1A: All Approach Lanes	461	864	53%	No
1B: Minor Street Both Approaches	17	204	8%	NO
2A: Major Street Both Approaches	444	864	51%	No
2B: Traffic Crossing Major Street	9	90	10%	NO

Notes: In the case of Justification 7 based on AHV both Warrant 1 and 2 must be met 100%, which requires both the A and B part of each warrant being equal to 100%.

When calculating the percentage, any value greater than 100% is expressed as 100%.

Based on OTM Book 12's Signal Warrant Justification 7 and the estimated AHV for the subject study intersection a signal is:

The grey shaded values are provided for reference only, and are not applicable to the study intersection.



Based Ontario Traffic Manual Book 12 - Traffic Signals (March 2012)

Proiect:	Tomickaming Shares Downtown	Temiskaming Shores Downtown Cores Transportation Study					
Project.	Terniskanning Shores Downtown	Date:	2023-08-22				
Horizon:	Future Background	Future Background Horizon Year: 2043					

Study Intersection Summary

Major Street:	Broadwood	Direction:	East/West
Minor Street:	Golding	Direction:	North/South

Intersection Details for Warrant Parameters

Flow Conditions:	Restricted Flow (Urban)	Number of Lanes:	1
Number of Legs:	Three ("T" Intersection)	Intersection Type:	Existing

Notes: "Free Flow" is used when the operating speed is greater than or equal to 70km/h, "Restricted Flow" otherwise. The Number of Lanes greater than 1 only needs to be for one direction along the major road.

An intersection is considered "New" if at least 1-leg is added to an existing intersection.

Input Volumes and Average Hourly Volume Determination

Peak Hour		Major: Broadwood					Minor: Golding						Pedestrians
Peak Hour	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR	Crossing Major
AM	3	1	0	0	2	16	0	0	0	33	0	0	0
PM	0	0	0	0	4	41	0	0	0	2	0	34	0
AHV ¹	1	0	0	0	2	14	0	0	0	9	0	9	0

^{1.} The AHV is determined by the availability of the peak hour estimates. If both the AM and PM Peak Hour Volume estimate is available then AHV = $(AM_{PHV} + PM_{PHV}) / 4$. In the case that only one estimate is available then AHV = $AM_{PHV} / 2$ or AHV = $AM_{PHV} / 2$.

Justification 1A: All Approach Lanes	35
Justification 1B: Minor Street Both Approaches	18

Justification 2A: Major Street Both Approaches	17
Justification 2B: Traffic Crossing Major Street	9

		Total	9
(4) Pedestrians crossing the major street	:	•	0
(b) The left turn volume plus the opposing volume > 720 vph	3	FALSE	
(a) The left turn volume > 120 vph	1	FALSE	
(3) 50% of the heavier left turn movement from major street when both of the following criteria are met:			0
(2) The heaviest through volume from the minor street:			0
(1) Left turns from both minor street approaches:			9
Note: The <u>crossing</u> volume is defined as the sum of:			



Based Ontario Traffic Manual Book 12 - Traffic Signals (March 2012)

Project and Scenario Summary

Proiect:	Temiskaming Shores Downtown	Project No.:	10777		
Project.	Terniskanning Shores Downtown	Date:	2023-08-22		
Horizon:	Future Background	Horizon Year:	2043	Analyst:	GC

Study Intersection Summary

Major Street:	Broadwood	Direction:	East/West
Minor Street:	Golding	Direction:	North/South

Summary of Base Justification Thresholds

Justification	1 Appro	ach Lane	2 or More Approach Lanes		
Justilication	Free Flow	Restricted Flow	Free Flow	Restricted Flow	
1A: All Approach Lanes	480	720	600	900	
1B: Minor Street Both Approaches	120	170	120	170	
2A: Major Street Both Approaches	480	720	600	900	
2B: Traffic Crossing Major Street	50	75	50	75	

The above values are taken from Table 12 and Table 13 from OTM Book 12 (March 2012).

Adjusted Justification Thresholds for Study Intersection Conditions

Justification	Base Threshold	Existing Intersection	"T" Intersection	Final Threshold
1A: All Approach Lanes	720	120%	-	864
1B: Minor Street Both Approaches	170	120%	150%	306
2A: Major Street Both Approaches	720	120%	-	864
2B: Traffic Crossing Major Street	75	120%	-	90

The above adjustments are taken from OTM Book 12 (March 2012) the "T" Intersection adjustment only applies to Justification 1B, and is a 50% increase on the threshold when the study intersection is a "T' intersection. Otherwise a value of 100% is used.

Warrant Calculation

Justification	Study Intersection Justification Volume	Justification Threshold	Percentage Warrant	Warrant Met?
1A: All Approach Lanes	35	864	4%	No
1B: Minor Street Both Approaches	18	306	6%	NO
2A: Major Street Both Approaches	17	864	2%	No
2B: Traffic Crossing Major Street	9	90	10%	No

Notes: In the case of Justification 7 based on AHV both Warrant 1 and 2 must be met 100%, which requires both the A and B part of each warrant being equal to 100%.

When calculating the percentage, any value greater than 100% is expressed as 100%.

Based on OTM Book 12's Signal Warrant Justification 7 and the estimated AHV for the subject study intersection a signal is:

The grey shaded values are provided for reference only, and are not applicable to the study intersection.



Based Ontario Traffic Manual Book 12 - Traffic Signals (March 2012)

Project and Scenario Sum

Proiect:	Temiskaming Shores Downtown Cores Transportation Study				
Project.	Terniskanning Shores Downtown	Date:	2023-08-22		
Horizon:	Future Background	Horizon Year:	2043	Analyst:	GC

Study Intersection Summary

Major Street:	Broadwood	Direction:	East/West
Minor Street:	Edith	Direction:	North/South

Intersection Details for Warrant Parameters

Flow Conditions:	Restricted Flow (Urban)	Number of Lanes:	1
Number of Legs:	Three ("T" Intersection)	Intersection Type:	Existing

Notes: "Free Flow" is used when the operating speed is greater than or equal to 70km/h, "Restricted Flow" otherwise. The Number of Lanes greater than 1 only needs to be for one direction along the major road.

An intersection is considered "New" if at least 1-leg is added to an existing intersection.

Input Volumes and Average Hourly Volume Determination

Peak Hour		Major: Broadwood				Minor: Edith					Pedestrians		
Peak Hour	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR	Crossing Major
AM	6	32	0	0	22	39	0	0	0	24	0	2	0
PM	8	47	0	0	41	25	0	0	0	71	0	5	0
AHV ¹	4	20	0	0	16	16	0	0	0	24	0	2	0

1. The AHV is determined by the availability of the peak hour estimates. If both the AM and PM Peak Hour Volume estimate is available then AHV = $(AM_{PHV} + PM_{PHV}) / 4$. In the case that only one estimate is available then AHV = $AM_{PHV} / 2$ or AHV = $PM_{PHV} / 2$.

Justification 1A: All Approach Lanes	82
Justification 1B: Minor Street Both Approaches	26

Justification 2A: Major Street Both Approaches	56
Justification 2B: Traffic Crossing Major Street	24

		Total	24				
(4) Pedestrians crossing the major street:							
(b) The left turn volume plus the opposing volume > 720 vph	20	FALSE					
(a) The left turn volume > 120 vph	4	FALSE					
(3) 50% of the heavier left turn movement from major street when both of the following criteria are met:							
(2) The heaviest through volume from the	e mino	r street:	0				
(1) Left turns from both minor street appr	oaches	s:	24				
ote: The <u>crossing</u> volume is defined as the sum of:							



Based Ontario Traffic Manual Book 12 - Traffic Signals (March 2012)

Project and Scenario Summary

Proiect:	Project No.:	10777				
Froject.	Temiskaming Shores Downtown	i Cores Transporta	dion Study	Date: 2023-08-22		
Horizon:	Future Background	Horizon Year:	2043	Analyst:	GC	

Study Intersection Summary

Major Street:	Broadwood	Direction:	East/West
Minor Street:	Edith	Direction:	North/South

Summary of Base Justification Thresholds

Justification	1 Appro	ach Lane	2 or More Approach Lanes		
Justilication	Free Flow	Restricted Flow	Free Flow	Restricted Flow	
1A: All Approach Lanes	480	720	600	900	
1B: Minor Street Both Approaches	120	170	120	170	
2A: Major Street Both Approaches	480	720	600	900	
2B: Traffic Crossing Major Street	50	75	50	75	

The above values are taken from Table 12 and Table 13 from OTM Book 12 (March 2012).

Adjusted Justification Thresholds for Study Intersection Conditions

Justification	Base Threshold	Existing Intersection	"T" Intersection	Final Threshold
1A: All Approach Lanes	720	120%	-	864
1B: Minor Street Both Approaches	170	120%	150%	306
2A: Major Street Both Approaches	720	120%	-	864
2B: Traffic Crossing Major Street	75	120%	-	90

The above adjustments are taken from OTM Book 12 (March 2012) the "T" Intersection adjustment only applies to Justification 1B, and is a 50% increase on the threshold when the study intersection is a "T' intersection. Otherwise a value of 100% is used.

Warrant Calculation

Justification	Study Intersection Justification Volume	Justification Threshold	Percentage Warrant	Warrant Met?
1A: All Approach Lanes	82	864	9%	No
1B: Minor Street Both Approaches	26	306	8%	NO
2A: Major Street Both Approaches	56	864	6%	No
2B: Traffic Crossing Major Street	24	90	27%	No

Notes: In the case of Justification 7 based on AHV both Warrant 1 and 2 must be met 100%, which requires both the A and B part of each warrant being equal to 100%.

When calculating the percentage, any value greater than 100% is expressed as 100%.

Based on OTM Book 12's Signal Warrant Justification 7 and the estimated AHV for the subject study intersection a signal is:

The grey shaded values are provided for reference only, and are not applicable to the study intersection.



Based Ontario Traffic Manual Book 12 - Traffic Signals (March 2012)

Project and Scenario Sum

Proiect:	Project No.:	10777			
Project.	Date:	2023-08-22			
Horizon:	Future Background	Horizon Year:	2043	Analyst:	GC

Study Intersection Summary

Major Street:	Lakeshore	Direction:	North/South
Minor Street:	Broadwood	Direction:	East/West

Intersection Details for Warrant Parameters

Flow Conditions:	Restricted Flow (Urban)	Number of Lanes:	1
Number of Legs:	Four	Intersection Type:	Existing

Notes: "Free Flow" is used when the operating speed is greater than or equal to 70km/h, "Restricted Flow" otherwise. The Number of Lanes greater than 1 only needs to be for one direction along the major road.

An intersection is considered "New" if at least 1-leg is added to an existing intersection.

Input Volumes and Average Hourly Volume Determination

Peak Hour		М	ajor: La	akesho	re		Minor: Broadwood				Pedestrians		
Peak Hour	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	Crossing Major
AM	68	406	4	0	252	9	13	4	46	7	2	3	9
PM	50	387	2	2	446	18	20	4	98	2	0	7	6
AHV ¹	30	198	2	1	175	7	8	2	36	2	1	3	4

1. The AHV is determined by the availability of the peak hour estimates. If both the AM and PM Peak Hour Volume estimate is available then AHV = $(AM_{PHV} + PM_{PHV}) / 4$. In the case that only one estimate is available then AHV = $AM_{PHV} / 2$ or AHV = $PM_{PHV} / 2$.

Justification 1A: All Approach Lanes	465
Justification 1B: Minor Street Both Approaches	52

Justification 2A: Major Street Both Approaches	413
Justification 2B: Traffic Crossing Major Street	16

		Total	16			
(4) Pedestrians crossing the major street:						
(b) The left turn volume plus the opposing volume > 720 vph	205	FALSE				
(a) The left turn volume > 120 vph	30	FALSE				
(3) 50% of the heavier left turn movement street when both of the following criteria		•	0			
(2) The heaviest through volume from th	e minor	street:	2			
(1) Left turns from both minor street app	roaches	s:	10			
Note: The <u>crossing</u> volume is defined as the	sum of	:				



Based Ontario Traffic Manual Book 12 - Traffic Signals (March 2012)

Project and Scenario Summary

Project:	Project: Temiskaming Shores Downtown Cores Transportation Study							
Froject.	Termskaming Shores Downtown	dion Study	Date:	2023-08-22				
Horizon:	Future Background	Horizon Year:	2043	Analyst:	GC			

Study Intersection Summary

Major Street:	Lakeshore	Direction:	North/South
Minor Street:	Broadwood	Direction:	East/West

Summary of Base Justification Thresholds

Justification	1 Appro	ach Lane	2 or More Approach Lanes			
Justilication	Free Flow	Restricted Flow	Free Flow	Restricted Flow		
1A: All Approach Lanes	480	720	600	900		
1B: Minor Street Both Approaches	120	170	120	170		
2A: Major Street Both Approaches	480	720	600	900		
2B: Traffic Crossing Major Street	50	75	50	75		

The above values are taken from Table 12 and Table 13 from OTM Book 12 (March 2012).

Adjusted Justification Thresholds for Study Intersection Conditions

Justification	Base Threshold	Existing Intersection	"T" Intersection	Final Threshold
1A: All Approach Lanes	720	120%	-	864
1B: Minor Street Both Approaches	170	120%	100%	204
2A: Major Street Both Approaches	720	120%	-	864
2B: Traffic Crossing Major Street	75	120%	-	90

The above adjustments are taken from OTM Book 12 (March 2012) the "T" Intersection adjustment only applies to Justification 1B, and is a 50% increase on the threshold when the study intersection is a "T' intersection. Otherwise a value of 100% is used.

Warrant Calculation

Justification	Study Intersection Justification Volume	Justification Threshold	Percentage Warrant	Warrant Met?
1A: All Approach Lanes	465	864	54%	No
1B: Minor Street Both Approaches	52	204	25%	NO
2A: Major Street Both Approaches	413	864	48%	No
2B: Traffic Crossing Major Street	16	90	18%	NO

Notes: In the case of Justification 7 based on AHV both Warrant 1 and 2 must be met 100%, which requires both the A and B part of each warrant being equal to 100%.

When calculating the percentage, any value greater than 100% is expressed as 100%.

Based on OTM Book 12's Signal Warrant Justification 7 and the estimated AHV for the subject study intersection a signal is:

The grey shaded values are provided for reference only, and are not applicable to the study intersection.



Based Ontario Traffic Manual Book 12 - Traffic Signals (March 2012)

Project and Scenario Sum

Project:	10777					
Project.	Project: Temiskaming Shores Downtown Cores Transportation Study					
Horizon:	Future Background	Analyst:	GC			

Study Intersection Summary

Major Street:	Lakeshore	Direction:	North/South
Minor Street:	Farah	Direction:	East/West

Intersection Details for Warrant Parameters

Flow Conditions:	Restricted Flow (Urban)	Number of Lanes:	1
Number of Legs:	Four	Intersection Type:	Existing

Notes: "Free Flow" is used when the operating speed is greater than or equal to 70km/h, "Restricted Flow" otherwise. The Number of Lanes greater than 1 only needs to be for one direction along the major road.

An intersection is considered "New" if at least 1-leg is added to an existing intersection.

Input Volumes and Average Hourly Volume Determination

Peak Hour		Major: Lakeshore				Minor: Farah					Pedestrians		
Peak Hour	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	Crossing Major
AM	6	313	83	34	179	6	8	12	15	41	15	15	10
PM	9	347	47	28	357	9	3	23	23	62	32	18	4
AHV ¹	4	165	33	16	134	4	3	9	10	26	12	8	4

^{1.} The AHV is determined by the availability of the peak hour estimates. If both the AM and PM Peak Hour Volume estimate is available then AHV = $(AM_{PHV} + PM_{PHV}) / 4$. In the case that only one estimate is available then AHV = $AM_{PHV} / 2$ or AHV = $AM_{PHV} / 2$.

Justification 1A: All Approach Lanes	424
Justification 1B: Minor Street Both Approaches	68

Justification 2A: Major Street Both Approaches	356
Justification 2B: Traffic Crossing Major Street	45

		Total	45
(4) Pedestrians crossing the major street:			4
(b) The left turn volume plus the opposing volume > 720 vph	181	FALSE	
(a) The left turn volume > 120 vph	16	FALSE	
(3) 50% of the heavier left turn movemer street when both of the following criteria		•	0
(2) The heaviest through volume from th	e mino	r street:	12
(1) Left turns from both minor street app	roaches	3:	29
Note: The <u>crossing</u> volume is defined as the	sum of	f:	



Based Ontario Traffic Manual Book 12 - Traffic Signals (March 2012)

Project and Scenario Summary

Project:	Temiskaming Shores Downtown	Project No.:	10777		
Project:	Terniskanning Shores Downtown	lilon Study	Date:	2023-08-22	
Horizon:	Future Background	Horizon Year:	2043	Analyst:	GC

Study Intersection Summary

Major Street:	Lakeshore	Direction:	North/South
Minor Street:	Farah	Direction:	East/West

Summary of Base Justification Thresholds

Justification	1 Approach Lane		2 or More Approach Lanes	
Justilication	Free Flow	Restricted Flow	Free Flow	Restricted Flow
1A: All Approach Lanes	480	720	600	900
1B: Minor Street Both Approaches	120	170	120	170
2A: Major Street Both Approaches	480	720	600	900
2B: Traffic Crossing Major Street	50	75	50	75

The above values are taken from Table 12 and Table 13 from OTM Book 12 (March 2012).

Adjusted Justification Thresholds for Study Intersection Conditions

Justification	Base Threshold	Existing Intersection	"T" Intersection	Final Threshold
1A: All Approach Lanes	720	120%	-	864
1B: Minor Street Both Approaches	170	120%	100%	204
2A: Major Street Both Approaches	720	120%	-	864
2B: Traffic Crossing Major Street	75	120%	-	90

The above adjustments are taken from OTM Book 12 (March 2012) the "T" Intersection adjustment only applies to Justification 1B, and is a 50% increase on the threshold when the study intersection is a "T' intersection. Otherwise a value of 100% is used.

Warrant Calculation

Justification	Study Intersection Justification Volume	Justification Threshold	Percentage Warrant	Warrant Met?
1A: All Approach Lanes	424	864	49%	No
1B: Minor Street Both Approaches	68	204	33%	NO
2A: Major Street Both Approaches	356	864	41%	No
2B: Traffic Crossing Major Street	45	90	50%	No

Notes: In the case of Justification 7 based on AHV both Warrant 1 and 2 must be met 100%, which requires both the A and B part of each warrant being equal to 100%.

When calculating the percentage, any value greater than 100% is expressed as 100%.

Based on OTM Book 12's Signal Warrant Justification 7 and the estimated AHV for the subject study intersection a signal is:

The grey shaded values are provided for reference only, and are not applicable to the study intersection.



Based Ontario Traffic Manual Book 12 - Traffic Signals (March 2012)

Project and Scenario Sum

Project:	Temiskaming Shores Downtown	Project No.:	10777		
Project.	Terniskanning Shores Downtown	Date:	2023-08-22		
Horizon:	Future Background	Horizon Year:	2043	Analyst:	GC

Study Intersection Summary

Major Street:	Armstrong	Direction:	North/South
Minor Street:	Church	Direction:	East/West

Intersection Details for Warrant Parameters

Flow Conditions:	Restricted Flow (Urban)	Number of Lanes:	1
Number of Legs:	Three ("T" Intersection)	Intersection Type:	Existing

Notes: "Free Flow" is used when the operating speed is greater than or equal to 70km/h, "Restricted Flow" otherwise. The Number of Lanes greater than 1 only needs to be for one direction along the major road.

An intersection is considered "New" if at least 1-leg is added to an existing intersection.

Input Volumes and Average Hourly Volume Determination

Peak Hour		M	ajor: A	rmstro	ng				Minor:	Church)		Pedestrians
Peak Hour	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	Crossing Major
AM	5	431	0	0	507	90	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
PM	5	772	0	0	610	50	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
AHV ¹	3	301	0	0	279	35	0	0	0	0	0	0	2

1. The AHV is determined by the availability of the peak hour estimates. If both the AM and PM Peak Hour Volume estimate is available then AHV = $(AM_{PHV} + PM_{PHV}) / 4$. In the case that only one estimate is available then AHV = $AM_{PHV} / 2$ or AHV = $PM_{PHV} / 2$.

Justification 1A: All Approach Lanes	618
Justification 1B: Minor Street Both Approaches	0

Justification 2A: Major Street Both Approaches	618
Justification 2B: Traffic Crossing Major Street	2

		Total	2
(4) Pedestrians crossing the major stree	t:		2
(b) The left turn volume plus the opposing volume > 720 vph	282	FALSE	
(a) The left turn volume > 120 vph	3	FALSE	
(3) 50% of the heavier left turn movement street when both of the following criteria	0		
(2) The heaviest through volume from th	e minor	street:	0
(1) Left turns from both minor street app	s:	0	
Note: The crossing volume is defined as the			



Based Ontario Traffic Manual Book 12 - Traffic Signals (March 2012)

Project and Scenario Summary

Project:	Project: Temiskaming Shores Downtown Cores Transportation Study				10777
Project.	Terniskanning Shores Downtown	Date:	2023-08-22		
Horizon:	Future Background	Horizon Year:	2043	Analyst:	GC

Study Intersection Summary

Major Street:	Armstrong	Direction:	North/South
Minor Street:	Church	Direction:	East/West

Summary of Base Justification Thresholds

Justification	1 Appro	ach Lane	2 or More Approach Lanes		
Justilication	Free Flow	Restricted Flow	Free Flow	Restricted Flow	
1A: All Approach Lanes	480	720	600	900	
1B: Minor Street Both Approaches	120	170	120	170	
2A: Major Street Both Approaches	480	720	600	900	
2B: Traffic Crossing Major Street	50	75	50	75	

The above values are taken from Table 12 and Table 13 from OTM Book 12 (March 2012).

Adjusted Justification Thresholds for Study Intersection Conditions

Justification	Base Threshold	Existing Intersection	"T" Intersection	Final Threshold
1A: All Approach Lanes	720	120%	-	864
1B: Minor Street Both Approaches	170	120%	150%	306
2A: Major Street Both Approaches	720	120%	-	864
2B: Traffic Crossing Major Street	75	120%	-	90

The above adjustments are taken from OTM Book 12 (March 2012) the "T" Intersection adjustment only applies to Justification 1B, and is a 50% increase on the threshold when the study intersection is a "T' intersection. Otherwise a value of 100% is used.

Warrant Calculation

Justification	Study Intersection Justification Volume	Justification Threshold	Percentage Warrant	Warrant Met?
1A: All Approach Lanes	618	864	72%	No
1B: Minor Street Both Approaches	0	306	0%	NO
2A: Major Street Both Approaches	618	864	72%	No
2B: Traffic Crossing Major Street	2	90	2%	No

Notes: In the case of Justification 7 based on AHV both Warrant 1 and 2 must be met 100%, which requires both the A and B part of each warrant being equal to 100%.

When calculating the percentage, any value greater than 100% is expressed as 100%.

Based on OTM Book 12's Signal Warrant Justification 7 and the estimated AHV for the subject study intersection a signal is:

The grey shaded values are provided for reference only, and are not applicable to the study intersection.



Based Ontario Traffic Manual Book 12 - Traffic Signals (March 2012)

Project and Scenario Sum

Project:	Project: Temiskaming Shores Downtown Cores Transportation Study		Project No.:	10777	
Froject.	Termskaming Shores Downtown	Date:	2023-08-22		
Horizon:	Future Background	Horizon Year:	2043	Analyst:	GC

Study Intersection Summary

Major Street:	Armstrong	Direction:	North/South
Minor Street:	Sherpe	Direction:	East/West

Intersection Details for Warrant Parameters

Flow Conditions:	Restricted Flow (Urban)	Number of Lanes:	1
Number of Legs:	Four	Intersection Type:	Existing

Notes: "Free Flow" is used when the operating speed is greater than or equal to 70km/h, "Restricted Flow" otherwise. The Number of Lanes greater than 1 only needs to be for one direction along the major road.

An intersection is considered "New" if at least 1-leg is added to an existing intersection.

Input Volumes and Average Hourly Volume Determination

Peak Hour		Major: Armstrong			Minor: Sherpe						Pedestrians		
Peak Hour	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	Crossing Major
AM	0	443	4	65	611	0	14	2	5	1	0	40	5
PM	0	732	14	37	642	0	36	0	10	0	0	93	2
AHV ¹	0	294	5	26	313	0	13	1	4	0	0	33	2

^{1.} The AHV is determined by the availability of the peak hour estimates. If both the AM and PM Peak Hour Volume estimate is available then AHV = $(AM_{PHV} + PM_{PHV}) / 4$. In the case that only one estimate is available then AHV = $AM_{PHV} / 2$ or AHV = $AM_{PHV} / 2$.

Justification 1A: All Approach Lanes	689
Justification 1B: Minor Street Both Approaches	51

Justification 2A: Major Street Both Approaches	638
Justification 2B: Traffic Crossing Major Street	16

		Total	16	
(4) Pedestrians crossing the major stree		2		
(b) The left turn volume plus the opposing volume > 720 vph 320 FALSE				
(a) The left turn volume > 120 vph	26	FALSE		
(3) 50% of the heavier left turn movement street when both of the following criteria	0			
(2) The heaviest through volume from th	e minor	street:	1	
(1) Left turns from both minor street app	s:	13		
Note: The <u>crossing</u> volume is defined as the	:			



Based Ontario Traffic Manual Book 12 - Traffic Signals (March 2012)

Project and Scenario Summary

Project: Temiskaming Shores Downtown Cores Transportation Study					10777
Project.	Terniskanning Shores Downtown	r Cores Transporta	illon Study	Date:	2023-08-22
Horizon:	Future Background	Analyst:	GC		

Study Intersection Summary

Major Street:	Armstrong	Direction:	North/South
Minor Street:	Sherpe	Direction:	East/West

Summary of Base Justification Thresholds

Justification	1 Appro	ach Lane	2 or More Approach Lanes		
Justilication	Free Flow	Restricted Flow	Free Flow	Restricted Flow	
1A: All Approach Lanes	480	720	600	900	
1B: Minor Street Both Approaches	120	170	120	170	
2A: Major Street Both Approaches	480	720	600	900	
2B: Traffic Crossing Major Street	50	75	50	75	

The above values are taken from Table 12 and Table 13 from OTM Book 12 (March 2012).

Adjusted Justification Thresholds for Study Intersection Conditions

Justification	Base Threshold	Existing Intersection	"T" Intersection	Final Threshold
1A: All Approach Lanes	720	120%	-	864
1B: Minor Street Both Approaches	170	120%	100%	204
2A: Major Street Both Approaches	720	120%	-	864
2B: Traffic Crossing Major Street	75	120%	-	90

The above adjustments are taken from OTM Book 12 (March 2012) the "T" Intersection adjustment only applies to Justification 1B, and is a 50% increase on the threshold when the study intersection is a "T' intersection. Otherwise a value of 100% is used.

Warrant Calculation

Justification	Study Intersection Justification Volume	Justification Threshold	Percentage Warrant	Warrant Met?
1A: All Approach Lanes	689	864	80%	No
1B: Minor Street Both Approaches	51	204	25%	NO
2A: Major Street Both Approaches	638	864	74%	No
2B: Traffic Crossing Major Street	16	90	18%	IAO

Notes: In the case of Justification 7 based on AHV both Warrant 1 and 2 must be met 100%, which requires both the A and B part of each warrant being equal to 100%.

When calculating the percentage, any value greater than 100% is expressed as 100%.

Based on OTM Book 12's Signal Warrant Justification 7 and the estimated AHV for the subject study intersection a signal is:

The grey shaded values are provided for reference only, and are not applicable to the study intersection.



Based Ontario Traffic Manual Book 12 - Traffic Signals (March 2012)

Project: Temiskaming Shores Downtown Cores Transportation Study					10777	
Project.	Project: Temiskaming Shores Downtown Cores Transportation Study				2023-08-22	
Horizon:	Future Background	Future Background Horizon Year: 2043				

Study Intersection Summary

Major Street:	Main	Direction:	East/West
Minor Street:	Rorke	Direction:	North/South

Intersection Details for Warrant Parameters

Flow Conditions:	Restricted Flow (Urban)	Number of Lanes:	1
Number of Legs:	Four	Intersection Type:	Existing

Notes: "Free Flow" is used when the operating speed is greater than or equal to 70km/h, "Restricted Flow" otherwise. The Number of Lanes greater than 1 only needs to be for one direction along the major road.

An intersection is considered "New" if at least 1-leg is added to an existing intersection.

Input Volumes and Average Hourly Volume Determination

Peak Hour	Major: Main				Minor: Rorke				Pedestrians				
Peak Hour	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR	Crossing Major
AM	10	53	51	110	67	9	82	19	258	5	21	14	1
PM	14	113	99	277	81	9	70	24	171	5	24	11	5
AHV ¹	6	42	38	97	37	5	38	11	107	3	11	6	2

^{1.} The AHV is determined by the availability of the peak hour estimates. If both the AM and PM Peak Hour Volume estimate is available then AHV = $(AM_{PHV} + PM_{PHV}) / 4$. In the case that only one estimate is available then AHV = $AM_{PHV} / 2$ or AHV = $PM_{PHV} / 2$.

Justification 1A: All Approach Lanes	401
Justification 1B: Minor Street Both Approaches	176

Justification 2A: Major Street Both Approaches	225
Justification 2B: Traffic Crossing Major Street	54

<u> </u>		Total	54
(4) Pedestrians crossing the major stree	t:		2
(b) The left turn volume plus the opposing volume > 720 vph	139	FALSE	
(a) The left turn volume > 120 vph	97	FALSE	
(3) 50% of the heavier left turn movemen street when both of the following criteria		•	0
(2) The heaviest through volume from th	e minor	street:	11
(1) Left turns from both minor street app	roaches	s:	41
Note: The <u>crossing</u> volume is defined as the	sum of	:	



Based Ontario Traffic Manual Book 12 - Traffic Signals (March 2012)

Project and Scenario Summary

Proiect:	Temiskaming Shores Downtown	Coros Transporta	tion Study	Project No.:	10777
Project.	Terniskanning Shores Downtown	i Cores Transporta	liion Study	Date:	2023-08-22
Horizon:	Future Background	Horizon Year:	2043	Analyst:	GC

Study Intersection Summary

Major Street:	Main	Direction:	East/West
Minor Street:	Rorke	Direction:	North/South

Summary of Base Justification Thresholds

Justification	1 Appro	ach Lane	2 or More Approach Lanes		
Justilication	Free Flow	Restricted Flow	Free Flow	Restricted Flow	
1A: All Approach Lanes	480	720	600	900	
1B: Minor Street Both Approaches	120	170	120	170	
2A: Major Street Both Approaches	480	720	600	900	
2B: Traffic Crossing Major Street	50	75	50	75	

The above values are taken from Table 12 and Table 13 from OTM Book 12 (March 2012).

Adjusted Justification Thresholds for Study Intersection Conditions

Justification	Base Threshold	Existing Intersection	"T" Intersection	Final Threshold
1A: All Approach Lanes	720	120%	-	864
1B: Minor Street Both Approaches	170	120%	100%	204
2A: Major Street Both Approaches	720	120%	-	864
2B: Traffic Crossing Major Street	75	120%	-	90

The above adjustments are taken from OTM Book 12 (March 2012) the "T" Intersection adjustment only applies to Justification 1B, and is a 50% increase on the threshold when the study intersection is a "T' intersection. Otherwise a value of 100% is used.

Warrant Calculation

Justification	Study Intersection Justification Volume	Justification Threshold	Percentage Warrant	Warrant Met?
1A: All Approach Lanes	401	864	46%	No
1B: Minor Street Both Approaches	176	204	86%	NO
2A: Major Street Both Approaches	225	864	26%	No
2B: Traffic Crossing Major Street	54	90	60%	INO

Notes: In the case of Justification 7 based on AHV both Warrant 1 and 2 must be met 100%, which requires both the A and B part of each warrant being equal to 100%.

When calculating the percentage, any value greater than 100% is expressed as 100%.

Based on OTM Book 12's Signal Warrant Justification 7 and the estimated AHV for the subject study intersection a signal is:

The grey shaded values are provided for reference only, and are not applicable to the study intersection.



Based Ontario Traffic Manual Book 12 - Traffic Signals (March 2012)

Project and Scenario Sum

Proiect:	Tomickaming Shares Downtown	Coros Transporta	tion Study	Project No.: 10777		
Project.	Terniskanning Shores Downtown	ores Downtown Cores Transportation Study			2023-08-22	
Horizon:	Future Background	Horizon Year:	2043	Analyst:	GC	

Study Intersection Summary

Major Street:	Main	Direction:	East/West
Minor Street:	Gorgina	Direction:	North/South

Intersection Details for Warrant Parameters

Flow Conditions:	Restricted Flow (Urban)	Number of Lanes:	1
Number of Legs:	Four	Intersection Type:	Existing

Notes: "Free Flow" is used when the operating speed is greater than or equal to 70km/h, "Restricted Flow" otherwise. The Number of Lanes greater than 1 only needs to be for one direction along the major road.

An intersection is considered "New" if at least 1-leg is added to an existing intersection.

Input Volumes and Average Hourly Volume Determination

Peak Hour	Major: Main							Minor: Gorgina					Pedestrians
Peak Hour	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR	Crossing Major
AM	4	320	7	8	170	3	12	7	43	1	0	4	3
PM	4	258	7	32	376	7	12	3	30	4	4	5	11
AHV ¹	2	145	4	10	137	3	6	3	18	1	1	2	4

^{1.} The AHV is determined by the availability of the peak hour estimates. If both the AM and PM Peak Hour Volume estimate is available then AHV = $(AM_{PHV} + PM_{PHV}) / 4$. In the case that only one estimate is available then AHV = $AM_{PHV} / 2$ or AHV = $AM_{PHV} / 2$.

Justification 1A: All Approach Lanes	332
Justification 1B: Minor Street Both Approaches	31

Justification 2A: Major Street Both Approaches	301
Justification 2B: Traffic Crossing Major Street	14

		Total	14		
(4) Pedestrians crossing the major stree	4				
(b) The left turn volume plus the opposing volume > 720 vph	155	FALSE			
(a) The left turn volume > 120 vph	10	FALSE			
(3) 50% of the heavier left turn movement from major street when both of the following criteria are met:					
(2) The heaviest through volume from the minor street:					
(1) Left turns from both minor street app	roaches	3:	7		
Note: The crossing volume is defined as the	:				



Based Ontario Traffic Manual Book 12 - Traffic Signals (March 2012)

Project and Scenario Summary

Proiect:	Temiskaming Shores Downtown	Coros Transporta	tion Study	Project No.: 10777		
Froject.	Termskaming Shores Downtown	i Cores Transporta	dion Study	Date:	2023-08-22	
Horizon:	Future Background	Horizon Year:	2043	Analyst:	GC	

Study Intersection Summary

Major Street:	Main	Direction:	East/West
Minor Street:	Gorgina	Direction:	North/South

Summary of Base Justification Thresholds

Justification	1 Appro	ach Lane	2 or More Approach Lanes		
Justilication	Free Flow	Restricted Flow	Free Flow	Restricted Flow	
1A: All Approach Lanes	480	720	600	900	
1B: Minor Street Both Approaches	120	170	120	170	
2A: Major Street Both Approaches	480	720	600	900	
2B: Traffic Crossing Major Street	50	75	50	75	

The above values are taken from Table 12 and Table 13 from OTM Book 12 (March 2012).

Adjusted Justification Thresholds for Study Intersection Conditions

Justification	Base Threshold	Existing Intersection	"T" Intersection	Final Threshold
1A: All Approach Lanes	720	120%	-	864
1B: Minor Street Both Approaches	170	120%	100%	204
2A: Major Street Both Approaches	720	120%	-	864
2B: Traffic Crossing Major Street	75	120%	-	90

The above adjustments are taken from OTM Book 12 (March 2012) the "T" Intersection adjustment only applies to Justification 1B, and is a 50% increase on the threshold when the study intersection is a "T' intersection. Otherwise a value of 100% is used.

Warrant Calculation

Justification	Study Intersection Justification Volume	Justification Threshold	Percentage Warrant	Warrant Met?
1A: All Approach Lanes	332	864	38%	No
1B: Minor Street Both Approaches	31	204	15%	NO
2A: Major Street Both Approaches	301	864	35%	No
2B: Traffic Crossing Major Street	14	90	16%	No

Notes: In the case of Justification 7 based on AHV both Warrant 1 and 2 must be met 100%, which requires both the A and B part of each warrant being equal to 100%.

When calculating the percentage, any value greater than 100% is expressed as 100%.

Based on OTM Book 12's Signal Warrant Justification 7 and the estimated AHV for the subject study intersection a signal is:

The grey shaded values are provided for reference only, and are not applicable to the study intersection.



Based Ontario Traffic Manual Book 12 - Traffic Signals (March 2012)

Proiect:	Temiskaming Shores Downtown	Project No.:	10777		
Project: Temiskaming Shores D		r Cores Transporta	illon Study	Date:	2023-08-22
Horizon:	Future Background	Analyst:	GC		

Study Intersection Summary

Major Street:	Main	Direction:	East/West
Minor Street:	Ferguson	Direction:	North/South

Intersection Details for Warrant Parameters

Flow Conditions:	Restricted Flow (Urban)	Number of Lanes:	1
Number of Legs:	Four	Intersection Type:	Existing

Notes: "Free Flow" is used when the operating speed is greater than or equal to 70km/h, "Restricted Flow" otherwise. The Number of Lanes greater than 1 only needs to be for one direction along the major road. An intersection is considered "New" if at least 1-leg is added to an existing intersection.

Input Volumes and Average Hourly Volume Determination

Peak Hour	Major: Main					Minor: Ferguson				Pedestrians			
Peak Hour	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR	Crossing Major
AM	327	19	6	1	13	58	6	11	0	29	21	143	7
PM	280	27	12	0	18	70	7	13	0	20	40	362	21
AHV ¹	152	12	5	0	8	32	3	6	0	12	15	126	7

1. The AHV is determined by the availability of the peak hour estimates. If both the AM and PM Peak Hour Volume estimate is available then AHV = $(AM_{PHV} + PM_{PHV}) / 4$. In the case that only one estimate is available then AHV = $AM_{PHV} / 2$ or AHV = $AM_{PHV} / 2$.

Justification 1A: All Approach Lanes	371
Justification 1B: Minor Street Both Approaches	162

Justification 2A: Major Street Both Approaches	209
Justification 2B: Traffic Crossing Major Street	37

		Total	37		
(4) Pedestrians crossing the major stree	•	7			
(b) The left turn volume plus the opposing volume > 720 vph					
(a) The left turn volume > 120 vph	152	TRUE			
(3) 50% of the heavier left turn movement from major street when both of the following criteria are met:					
(2) The heaviest through volume from th	e minor	street:	15		
(1) Left turns from both minor street app	roaches	s:	15		
Note: The <u>crossing</u> volume is defined as the sum of:					



Based Ontario Traffic Manual Book 12 - Traffic Signals (March 2012)

Project and Scenario Summary

Proiect:	Temiskaming Shores Downtown	Project No.:	10777
Project.	Terniskanning Shores Downtown	Date:	2023-08-22
Horizon:	Future Background	Analyst:	GC

Study Intersection Summary

Major Street:	Main	Direction:	East/West
Minor Street:	Ferguson	Direction:	North/South

Summary of Base Justification Thresholds

Justification	1 Appro	ach Lane	2 or More Approach Lanes		
Justilication	Free Flow	Restricted Flow	Free Flow	Restricted Flow	
1A: All Approach Lanes	480	720	600	900	
1B: Minor Street Both Approaches	120	170	120	170	
2A: Major Street Both Approaches	480	720	600	900	
2B: Traffic Crossing Major Street	50	75	50	75	

The above values are taken from Table 12 and Table 13 from OTM Book 12 (March 2012).

Adjusted Justification Thresholds for Study Intersection Conditions

Justification	Base Threshold	Existing Intersection	"T" Intersection	Final Threshold
1A: All Approach Lanes	720	120%	-	864
1B: Minor Street Both Approaches	170	120%	100%	204
2A: Major Street Both Approaches	720	120%	-	864
2B: Traffic Crossing Major Street	75	120%	-	90

The above adjustments are taken from OTM Book 12 (March 2012) the "T" Intersection adjustment only applies to Justification 1B, and is a 50% increase on the threshold when the study intersection is a "T' intersection. Otherwise a value of 100% is used.

Warrant Calculation

Justification	Study Intersection Justification Volume	Justification Threshold	Percentage Warrant	Warrant Met?
1A: All Approach Lanes	371	864	43%	No
1B: Minor Street Both Approaches	162	204	79%	NO
2A: Major Street Both Approaches	209	864	24%	No
2B: Traffic Crossing Major Street	37	90	41%	NO

Notes: In the case of Justification 7 based on AHV both Warrant 1 and 2 must be met 100%, which requires both the A and B part of each warrant being equal to 100%.

When calculating the percentage, any value greater than 100% is expressed as 100%.

Based on OTM Book 12's Signal Warrant Justification 7 and the estimated AHV for the subject study intersection a signal is:

The grey shaded values are provided for reference only, and are not applicable to the study intersection.



Based Ontario Traffic Manual Book 12 - Traffic Signals (March 2012)

Project and Scenario Sum

Proiect:	Tomickaming Shores Downtown	Temiskaming Shores Downtown Cores Transportation Study				
Froject.	Termskaming Shores Downtown	i Cores Transporta	illon Study	Date:	2023-08-22	
Horizon:	Future Background	Horizon Year:	2043	Analyst:	GC	

Study Intersection Summary

Major Street:	Ferguson	Direction:	North/South
Minor Street:	Broadway	Direction:	East/West

Intersection Details for Warrant Parameters

Flow Conditions:	Restricted Flow (Urban)	Number of Lanes:	1
Number of Legs:	Four	Intersection Type:	Existing

Notes: "Free Flow" is used when the operating speed is greater than or equal to 70km/h, "Restricted Flow" otherwise. The Number of Lanes greater than 1 only needs to be for one direction along the major road.

An intersection is considered "New" if at least 1-leg is added to an existing intersection.

Input Volumes and Average Hourly Volume Determination

Peak Hour	Major: Ferguson					Minor: Broadway					Pedestrians		
Peak Hour	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	Crossing Major
AM	1	402	0	20	200	10	6	0	1	5	2	23	0
PM	1	333	26	27	414	27	5	4	0	19	3	32	2
AHV ¹	1	184	7	12	154	9	3	1	0	6	1	14	1

^{1.} The AHV is determined by the availability of the peak hour estimates. If both the AM and PM Peak Hour Volume estimate is available then AHV = $(AM_{PHV} + PM_{PHV}) / 4$. In the case that only one estimate is available then AHV = $AM_{PHV} / 2$ or AHV = $AM_{PHV} / 2$.

Justification 1A: All Approach Lanes	392
Justification 1B: Minor Street Both Approaches	25

Justification 2A: Major Street Both Approaches	367
Justification 2B: Traffic Crossing Major Street	11

	·	Total	11		
(4) Pedestrians crossing the major stree	1				
(b) The left turn volume plus the opposing volume > 720 vph	196	FALSE			
(a) The left turn volume > 120 vph	12	FALSE			
(3) 50% of the heavier left turn movement from major street when both of the following criteria are met:					
(2) The heaviest through volume from th	e minor	street:	1		
(1) Left turns from both minor street app	3:	9			
Note: The crossing volume is defined as the	:				



Based Ontario Traffic Manual Book 12 - Traffic Signals (March 2012)

Project and Scenario Summary

Proiect:	Temiskaming Shores Downtown Cores Transportation Study				
Project.	Terniskanning Shores Downtown	Date:	2023-08-22		
Horizon:	Future Background	Horizon Year:	2043	Analyst:	GC

Study Intersection Summary

Major Street:	Ferguson	Direction:	North/South
Minor Street:	Broadway	Direction:	East/West

Summary of Base Justification Thresholds

Justification	1 Appro	ach Lane	2 or More Approach Lanes		
Justilication	Free Flow	Restricted Flow	Free Flow	Restricted Flow	
1A: All Approach Lanes	480	720	600	900	
1B: Minor Street Both Approaches	120	170	120	170	
2A: Major Street Both Approaches	480	720	600	900	
2B: Traffic Crossing Major Street	50	75	50	75	

The above values are taken from Table 12 and Table 13 from OTM Book 12 (March 2012).

Adjusted Justification Thresholds for Study Intersection Conditions

Justification	Base Threshold	Existing Intersection	"T" Intersection	Final Threshold
1A: All Approach Lanes	720	120%	-	864
1B: Minor Street Both Approaches	170	120%	100%	204
2A: Major Street Both Approaches	720	120%	-	864
2B: Traffic Crossing Major Street	75	120%	-	90

The above adjustments are taken from OTM Book 12 (March 2012) the "T" Intersection adjustment only applies to Justification 1B, and is a 50% increase on the threshold when the study intersection is a "T' intersection. Otherwise a value of 100% is used.

Warrant Calculation

Justification	Study Intersection Justification Volume	Justification Threshold	Percentage Warrant	Warrant Met?
1A: All Approach Lanes	392	864	45%	No
1B: Minor Street Both Approaches	25	204	12%	NO
2A: Major Street Both Approaches	367	864	42%	No
2B: Traffic Crossing Major Street	11	90	12%	No

Notes: In the case of Justification 7 based on AHV both Warrant 1 and 2 must be met 100%, which requires both the A and B part of each warrant being equal to 100%.

When calculating the percentage, any value greater than 100% is expressed as 100%.

Based on OTM Book 12's Signal Warrant Justification 7 and the estimated AHV for the subject study intersection a signal is:

The grey shaded values are provided for reference only, and are not applicable to the study intersection.



Based Ontario Traffic Manual Book 12 - Traffic Signals (March 2012)

Project and Scenario Sum

Project:	Tomickaming Shares Downtown	Project No.:	10777		
Project.	Project: Temiskaming Shores Downtown Cores Transportation Study				2023-08-22
Horizon:	Future Background	Horizon Year:	2043	Analyst:	GC

Study Intersection Summary

Major Street:	Ferguson	Direction:	North/South
Minor Street:	Browning	Direction:	East/West

Intersection Details for Warrant Parameters

Flow Conditions:	Restricted Flow (Urban)	Number of Lanes:	1
Number of Legs:	Four	Intersection Type:	Existing

Notes: "Free Flow" is used when the operating speed is greater than or equal to 70km/h, "Restricted Flow" otherwise. The Number of Lanes greater than 1 only needs to be for one direction along the major road.

An intersection is considered "New" if at least 1-leg is added to an existing intersection.

Input Volumes and Average Hourly Volume Determination

Peak Hour		Major: Ferguson						Minor: Browning					Pedestrians
Peak Hour	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	Crossing Major
AM	0	421	1	3	256	5	9	2	0	5	0	1	2
PM	4	388	4	5	463	8	2	0	2	7	3	5	2
AHV ¹	1	202	1	2	180	3	3	1	1	3	1	2	1

^{1.} The AHV is determined by the availability of the peak hour estimates. If both the AM and PM Peak Hour Volume estimate is available then AHV = $(AM_{PHV} + PM_{PHV}) / 4$. In the case that only one estimate is available then AHV = $AM_{PHV} / 2$ or AHV = $PM_{PHV} / 2$.

Justification 1A: All Approach Lanes	400
Justification 1B: Minor Street Both Approaches	11

Justification 2A: Major Street Both Approaches	389
Justification 2B: Traffic Crossing Major Street	8

		Total	8		
(4) Pedestrians crossing the major street:					
(b) The left turn volume plus the opposing volume > 720 vph	204	FALSE			
(a) The left turn volume > 120 vph	2	FALSE			
` '	(3) 50% of the heavier left turn movement from major street when both of the following criteria are met:				
(2) The heaviest through volume from th	e minor	street:	1		
(1) Left turns from both minor street app	s:	6			
Note: The <u>crossing</u> volume is defined as the	:				



Based Ontario Traffic Manual Book 12 - Traffic Signals (March 2012)

Project and Scenario Summary

Proiect:	Tomickaming Shores Downtown	Temiskaming Shores Downtown Cores Transportation Study				
Project.	Terniskanning Shores Downtown	Date:	2023-08-22			
Horizon:	Future Background	Horizon Year:	2043	Analyst:	GC	

Study Intersection Summary

Major Street:	Ferguson	Direction:	North/South
Minor Street:	Browning	Direction:	East/West

Summary of Base Justification Thresholds

Justification	1 Approach Lane		2 or More Approach Lanes	
	Free Flow	Restricted Flow	Free Flow	Restricted Flow
1A: All Approach Lanes	480	720	600	900
1B: Minor Street Both Approaches	120	170	120	170
2A: Major Street Both Approaches	480	720	600	900
2B: Traffic Crossing Major Street	50	75	50	75

The above values are taken from Table 12 and Table 13 from OTM Book 12 (March 2012).

Adjusted Justification Thresholds for Study Intersection Conditions

Justification	Base Threshold	Existing Intersection	"T" Intersection	Final Threshold
1A: All Approach Lanes	720	120%	-	864
1B: Minor Street Both Approaches	170	120%	100%	204
2A: Major Street Both Approaches	720	120%	-	864
2B: Traffic Crossing Major Street	75	120%	-	90

The above adjustments are taken from OTM Book 12 (March 2012) the "T" Intersection adjustment only applies to Justification 1B, and is a 50% increase on the threshold when the study intersection is a "T' intersection. Otherwise a value of 100% is used.

Warrant Calculation

Justification	Study Intersection Justification Volume	Justification Threshold	Percentage Warrant	Warrant Met?
1A: All Approach Lanes	400	864	46%	No
1B: Minor Street Both Approaches	11	204	5%	NO
2A: Major Street Both Approaches	389	864	45%	No
2B: Traffic Crossing Major Street	8	90	9%	No

Notes: In the case of Justification 7 based on AHV both Warrant 1 and 2 must be met 100%, which requires both the A and B part of each warrant being equal to 100%.

When calculating the percentage, any value greater than 100% is expressed as 100%.

Based on OTM Book 12's Signal Warrant Justification 7 and the estimated AHV for the subject study intersection a signal is:

The grey shaded values are provided for reference only, and are not applicable to the study intersection.